

5. Consultation with Nearest Relative and process of identifying the Nearest Relative
- Lorraine Cordell his mother is one of the few people he still trusts to support him. He has become dependent on her to do his grocery shopping or care for his dog. He has no regular partner or child over 18 years. I deem his mother to be Nearest Relative, she believes there has been a difference in him since he suffered carbonmonoxy poisoning in 2014.
- At the same time she has been trying to help him with his complaints and appeals about the behaviour of the police towards and him. She told me that she can demonstrate that computer evidence has been changed.
6. Consultation with Assessing Doctors
- Both Doctors were of the opinion that his pressure of speech and very challenging behaviour could be the result of drug use, mental illness or personality.
- Even though he has been known to services for up to 2 years there is still no clear diagnosis.
7. Views of others consulted
- FME advised that Mr Cordell had refused to see the Drug worker and himself. He had seemed very agitated and confrontational and had advised he be interviewed through the wicket
8. Mental Capacity Act 2005
- Mr Cordell seemed to want to demonstrate his innocence and evidence that he was being unreasonably harassed. He had no concept that his behaviour would be seen as unacceptable and was in fact putting his tenancy at risk.
9. Reason for decision to make the application (including choice of Section)
- Mr Cordell seemed to want to demonstrate his innocence and evidence that he was being unreasonably harassed. He had no concept that his behaviour would be seen as unacceptable and was in fact putting his tenancy at risk It seems that he is entitled to an Assessment that has not been possible in the community.