| From: | Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk></lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> | | |
|--------------|--|---|------------|
| Sent time: | 28/01/2016 11:46:17 AM | | |
| To: | Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com></re_wired@ymail.com> | | |
| Subject: | FW: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now. | | |
| Attachments: | RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]-11-01-2016.pdf Document 1.pdf | RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]-11-01-2016-01.pdf | 489414.pdf |

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 28 January 2016 11:02 To: 'Josephine Ward'; 'JOSEPHINE WARD' Subject: Re: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

Can you please give me an update you said on Thursday last week that you would reply to the below emails on the Friday last week and I have not heard from you still.

I sent you the information you asked for in the text on 22/01/2016 by email

Then some other information by email on the 24/01/2016 which linked Scotland Yard again.

We need to know if you have emailed Supt Adrian Coombs to get the statement he said he was willing to do back in Sep2015, this is a very important statement as it will show all the information about Essex we don't know how long he will take to reply and time is running out. I would like to see the email that is written before it is sent so I can see if there is anything that has been missed out, as there was a lot he done and said to me when he called me and spoke to me.

Also have you put in the request for the missing CAD's, and all the CADs for all the events that went on at Crown Road, I have had an updated email from Enfield Council as I emailed them. Or will this be done when we are served there updated file on the 02/02/2016

Attached is 2 emails updated from Enfield Council

RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]-11-01-2016 = this is the reply to asking about the April 2014 event at Crown Road. RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]-11-01-2016-01 = this is my reply to his email with more dates that has never been said, which Enfield Council has not replied to.

I have also attached a letter due to a FOI I put in to a next council = die to the FOI they sent me information and a letter = 489414 = due to the FOI I put in they sent me = Document 1 = which is copies of 2 Noise Abatement Notice that were served by there council to 2 buildings. so you can see how much power a Noise Abatement Notice has.

Why did EnfieldCouncil never serve a Noise Abatement Notice on Crown Road it would have allowed them to take sound systems so would have stopped events going on? Crown Road eventswere going on for months and Enfield Council and the police did nothing andallowed then to go on, which was causing a huge problem to people that lived near by. Yet as soon as the police see Simon at progress way they get Enfield council out to try and serve paper work. Why did the council only try to serve this on Simon when there were loads of people at the gate of Progress Way and Simon was outside? The paper work could have been served on any person inside of Progress Way yet no paper work was served and Enfield Council just left.

Why also if Enfield Council went out on the 08th June 2014 to serve paper work at Progress Way did they not do the same to Crown Road at the same time they had police backup and an event had been running the 6th 7th 08th June at Crown road the same as progress way.

And what will be the outcome due to Val Tanner saying she could not give you the information you asked for via email. what is going to be the plain of action on how we are going to deal with this and the public order unit at Scotland Yard as they have a lot of information on events even over the last months so how was they not involved in these events like Steven Elsmore is trying to say in his last statement.

If you could give me an update with anything else that needs to be done and a full update as to how we are going to deal with everything I would be grateful.

I know that we are meant to be served the file by the 02/02/2016 by them could you please let me know as soon as it comes to the office so I can pick it up will need 2 copies one for me and one forSimon. So we can see what has been changed and updated, as we will need to work fast as any other information we want to put in will need to be done fast.

I also need a copy of Simon folder so he can see it as he has never seen it and he really needs to go over it ASAP.

Simon File was never completed and he was never given a file for trial what if the file we made up before Christmas does not match the one the court and the police had for trial and they have more things in there's that we don't have in the file that been made up.

Also have you sorted a barrister out for the appeal I know before Christmas you said you did not have one yet and needed to find one to do the appeal, the barrister will need time to go over all this data to see if there is things we have missed and they need to know the case before the appeal, Andy Locke will have information in his files that wouldreally help and he did agree to do the appeal hearing and he knows the casealready.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 19 January 2016 20:08 To: 'Josephine Ward'; 'JOSEPHINE WARD' Subject: RE: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

Please could you reply to the below emails, this is making things harder for me with you not replying to my emails.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 19 January 2016 13:39 To: 'Josephine Ward' Subject: RE: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Dear Josey

I have not had a reply to the below emails, and was wondering what was going on could you please give me an update.

Also Simon wanted to get the file that has been made up so he can see what has been done as he could not look at the last set that was sent to the court and police as there was no time in which to let him see.

Could you also please explain if you have submitted the request for the information that we need. And also wrote the email to Supt Adrian Coombs yet to get the witness statement, as that will be needed.

Simon wants to know what is going on and also the dates.

Could you please reply to my emails so I can give him an update please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 15 January 2016 16:34 To: 'Josephine Ward' Subject: RE: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

can you please give me an update.

Have you put into the police for the missing CADs and everything else that needs to be asked for from the publicorder unit.

Have you sent the email to Supt AdrianCoombs to get him to do the statement yet i spoke to him in Sep 2015 and i have been asking since then for an email to be sent to him as he said he was willing to do a statement and got all his notes out to do one.

I have got the tickets from Dwayne and the hall details they were not put in the file due to me not getting them till after Christmas, as he had a problem with my email.

Can you give me the date that the cps has to reply to us and send us any other information.

And can i have the date Simon trial is due to happen, and if there anything else we need to do please.

Regards

Lorraine

Hi Josey

Can you please reply to what needs to be done and if you sent the email to Supt Adrian Coombs to get his statement which he said he was willing to do.

And can you reply to the below emails.

Also can I have all the dates that things need to be done by.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2016 14:51
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

I am sorry that I keep emailing you but I am trying to deal with things and Simon keeps asking for updates to this appeal.

Just before Christmas you said Simon trial date was meant to be set for the 6th Feb but I just checked and that is a Saturday so that can not be the date, Ben wants to take time of work, could you please send me the full dates for everything so I know the dates as to when things have to be done for this case I have asked before for this information as when we were at court many dates were said and i did not take them all in.

Also can you tell me if you have written to Supt Adrian Coombs yet as Simon is asking everyday what is going on with the case and what has been done and what has not been done, and how we are dealing with this case and all the information that is needed, and the below emails.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 07 January 2016 12:45 To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD' Subject: Re: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

Can you give me an update on the belowemail and what needs to be done please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 January 2016 17:59
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell What needs to be done now.

Hi Josey

I hope you had a nice Christmas and New Year; I just wanted to know when you were back off holiday.

I was wondering if you had written yet to get the statement from Supt Adrian Coombs.

And was wondering if also you when youwould be writing and asking for all the discloser.

We have just over 4 weeks left to get all the information. I know you had the reply from Val tanner and said you weregoing to deal with this.

Would it also please be possible for you to write down everything that has been done and send it to Simon Email so he can get an update as to what is going on with the case?

It is really hard for me dealing with this case like this as I am not the one that this case is about. I am trying to give Simon updates as to things that have and have not been done, but with you only wanting to deal with me until just before the appeal it is hard as I know Simon wants to know things and have things done. And he got a lot of input aboutthings, that he wants to include.

Like you were asking the order of howthings should be done.

Simon spoke to me the other day, and told me and I hope I have got this right what he said.

The environmental protection act 1990section 80 abatement notice should be put in place by the council. I have acopy of one from a FOI I put in I will attach it here for you to see there is 2 in one file that they sent me.

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/section/79

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/section/80

Above is some links that deals with this.

The abatement notice then gives them the right to take the sound systems, and then after this the section 63 can be put in place, I think but Simon understands all of this.

I not sure if I got all this correct, but Simon understands it all, Simon has never been given any paper work from the council or the police, so no abatement notice or a section 63.

Even when they took his sound system on the 20/06/2014 Simon has never had any paper work.

Also no abatement notice was put in place for Crown Road by the council I have this in an email, and they were having a great deal of problems as you know with Crown Road.

Crown road was going on for months which we can prove, it was going on, on the 6th, 07th and 08th, and had been going on for weeks before this at Crown Road, yet the police get the council out on the 08th to serve a abatement notice for Progress Way but did not do this for Crown Road?

The council knows that they can put anabatement notice in place at any time, and the reason they gave me in an email for no doing so is a joke tbh.

Can you give me an update on what has to be done now please?

Regards

Lorraine



Rachael Beck

By Email: rachaelbeck100@gmail.com

31⁵¹ July 2015

Environment & Regeneration Municipal Offices 222 Upper Street London N1 1XR

T 020 752723216 F 020 75272732 E dawn.forte-khan@islington.gov.uk W <u>www.islington.gov.uk</u>

Dear Rachael Beck,

Subject: Freedom of Information Request 489414

Thank you for your Freedom of Information request received on the 3rd July 2015.

The information needed is regarding Disused or abandoned buildings or any industrial estates buildings or office buildings or open air land, or where occupiers/squatters were in building that could have resulted in problems with illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or illegal Parties, for the dates of all of 2013 all of 2014, 2015 to date.

Question 1: The Dates and times and addresses to any Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties.

Question 2: Where the Noise and Nuisance Team had such information that there was Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was in progress or believed to be in progress, within and around the Islington council area and wards boundaries that the Islington council is part off.

Question 3: If any paper work was served to any person/persons/occupiers/squatters, on any dates when the Noise and Nuisance Team was in attendance to any Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties, and copies of such paper work.

Response 3: This information is contained in document 1.

Question 4: All the calls that were made on any dates to the Noise and Nuisance Team to make them aware that an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was taking place or could be taking place. This would include all calls that were made before any Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties took place. This would also include any calls the police made to the Noise and Nuisance Team to make them aware that an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was going to take place.

Question 5: Any noise abatement orders that was put in place on any addresses where an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was taking place or could take place. This would include any noise abatement orders that were put in place before an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties took place. This would include dates and times the noise abatement, orders were served on an address and to whom and to forward copies of any such noise abatement orders within this request.

Response 5: This information is contained in document 1.

1bq.414.pdf

Question 6: Person's names who attended any addresses and times and dates from the Noise and Nuisance Team and any police officer names or IDs that attended with the Noise and Nuisance Team to any Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties. If the names cannot be given for the Noise and Nuisance Team offices that please just state how many Noise and Nuisance Team officers were in attendance.

Response 6: Please note that in responding to your request we have applied s. 40 (2)- exemption where the information contains personal data; we have redacted the information provided as it relates to third parties. This information is contained in document 1 and the attached spread sheet.

Question 7: Any information if the police contacted the Noise and Nuisance Team about any information that an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was going to take place or could take place in the area or wards boundaries that the council is part off.

Question 8: Any information if the Noise and Nuisance Team contacted the police about any information they were aware of that an Illegal raves, Illegal Squat Parties, Illegal Squat Raves or Illegal Parties was going to take place or could take place in the area or wards boundaries that the council is part off.

Responses 1, 2, 4, 7 & 8: This information is contained on the attached spread sheet.

If you are not satisfied with the way in which your request has been handled or the outcome, you may request an internal review within two calendar months of the date of this response by contacting: Information Complaints, Digital Services, Room G17, Town Hall, Upper Street, London N1 2UD. Email: <u>infocomplaints@islington.gov.uk</u> <<u>mailto:infocomplaints@islington.gov.uk</u>>

Further information is also available from the Information Commissioner's Office, at Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF. Telephone: 01625 545 700. Web: <u>www.ico.org.uk</u> <<u>http://www.ico.org.uk/</u>>

Jours sincefely

Dawn Forte-Khan Environment & Regeneration Islington Council

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990, SECTION 80 ABATEMENT NOTICE IN RESPECT OF NOISE NUISANCE FROM AMPLIFIED MUSIC

THE OCCUPIERS

То

BUSH INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

STATION RUAD, NIG.

TAKE NOTICE that under section 79(1)(g) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 Islington Council being satisfied of the *[existence] [likely [occurrence] [recurrence]] of noise amounting to a statutory nuisance arising from the playing of loud amplified music at premises known as

BUSH INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, STATE, STATON R.D., NIG

[HEREBY REQUIRE YOU as the [person responsible for the nuisance] [owner] [and/or] [occupier] of the premises from which the noise is or would be emitted] to abate the nuisance [instaticity] [within] and to prevent its likely [occurrence] [recurrence]. You are therefore required to:

Take all reasonable steps to ensure that no amplified music from within the above premises is played at levels likely to cause a nuisance to occupiers of nearby premises.

IN the event of an appeal this notice shall have effect, notwithstanding any appeal to a Magistrates' Court which has not been decided by the Court as, in the opinion of the Council, [the noise to which this notice relates is [injurious to health] [likely to be of a limited duration, such that suspension would render the notice of no practical effect]] [the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in carrying out works in compliance-with this notice before any appeal has been decided, would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance]

IF without reasonable excuse you contravene or fail to comply with any requirement of this notice you will be guilty of an offence under section 80(4) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and on summary conviction will be liable to a fine not exceeding level 5 of the Standard Scale **, together with a further fine of an amount equal to one-tenth of that level for each day on which the offence continues after conviction. A person who commits an offence on industrial, trade or business premises will be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £20,000. In addition the Court may make an order permanently depriving the owner of noise making equipment causing the offence.

The Council may also take proceedings in the High Court for securing the abatement, prohibition or restriction of the nuisance. Further, if you fail to execute all or any of the works in accordance with this notice, the Council may execute the works and recover from you the necessary expenditure incurred.

Date: 24 SEPTEMBER 2013 Signature: (Address to which all communications should be sent) Name: Noise Team, 222 Upper Street, London N1 1XR Title: PRINCIPAL ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. Tel. 02075273258 (day) 02075273229 (night) N.B. The person served with this notice may appeal against the notice to a magistrates' court within

twenty-one days beginning with the date of service. See notes on the reverse of this form.

Delete text in square brackets as appropriate ** Currently £5000, subject to alteration by Order

SERVED AT 0025-HUVRS

Document 1.pdf

The Statutory Nulsance (Appeals) Regulations 1995 provide as follows:-

- APPEALS UNDER SECTION 80(3) of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990 ("the 1990 Act") The provisions of this regulation apply in relation to an appeal brought by any person under section 80(3) of the 1990 Act (appeals to the (1)
 - Magistrates) against an abatement notice served upon him by a local authority. The grounds on which a person served with such a notice may appeal under section 80(3) are any one or more of the following grounds that are
 - appropriate in the circumstances of the particular case-
 - that the abatement notice is not justified by section 80 of the 1990 Act (summary proceedings for statutory nuisances); that there has been some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice, or in, or in connection with any copy of the (a) abatement notice served under section 80A(3) (certain notices in respect of vehicles, machinery or equipment); (b)
 - that the authority have refused unreasonably to accept compliance with alternative requirements, or that the requirements of the abatement (c)
 - notice are otherwise unreasonable in character or extent, or are unnecessary; that the time, or, where more than one time is specified, any of the times, within which the requirements of the abatement notice are to be (d)
 - complied with is not reasonably sufficient for the purpose; (e)
 - is a nuisance falling within section 79(1)(a), (d), (e), (f) or (g) of the 1990 Act and arises on industrial, trade or business premises, or where the nuisance to which the notice relates
 - is a nulsance falling within section 79(1)(b) of the 1990 Act and the smoke is emitted from a chimney, or
 - is a nuisance falling within section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act and is noise emitted from or caused by a vehicle, machinery or equipment an (iii)
 - being used for industrial, trade or business purposes,
 - that the best practicable means were used to prevent, or to counteract the effects of, the nuisance; that, in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from premises), the requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of that Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time being in force, in relation to the (f)
 - noise to which the notice relates, ofany notice served under section 60 or 66 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 ("the 1974 Act"), or
 - (i) any consent given under section 61 or 65 of the 1974 Act, or
 - (ii) any determination made under section 67 of the 1974 Act;
 - that, in the case of a nulsance under section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from or caused by vehicles, machinery or equipment), the treat in the case of a nulsance under section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from or caused by vehicles, machinery or equipment), the requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements in the requirement of the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements in the requirement of the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirement of the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements for the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements for the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirement at the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than t (q) being in force, in relation to the noise to which the notice relates of any condition of a consent given under paragraph 1 of Schedule 2 to the
 - Noise and Statutory Nulsance Act 1993 (loudspeakers in streets or roads); that the abatement notice should have been served on some person instead of the appellant, being -
 - the person responsible for the nulsance, or
 - the person responsible for the vehicle, machinery or equipment, or
 - 60
 - In the case of a nulsance arising from any detect of a structural character, the owner of the premises, or In the case where the person responsible for the nuisance cannot be found or the nuisance has not yet occurred, the owner or occupier of (6D) (iv)
 - that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person instead of the appellant being the premises;
 - In the case where the appellant is the owner of the premises, the occupier of the premises, or (i)
 - in the case where the appellant is the occupier of the premises, the owner of the premises, and that it would have been equitable for it to (ii)
 - that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person in addition to the appellant, being -(j)
 - a person also responsible for the nuisance, or
 - n a person who is also an owner of the premises, or
 - (ii) a person who is also an occupier of the premises,
 - a person who is also the person responsible for the vehicle, machinery or equipment, (111)
 - (iv)
 - and that it would have been equitable for it to have been so served. (3) If and so far as an appeal is based on the ground of some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice or in, or in connection with, any copy of the notice served under section 80A(3), the court shall dismiss the appeal if it is satisfied that the informality, defect or
 - Where the grounds upon which an appeal is brought include a ground specified in paragraph (2)(I) or (I) above, the appellant shall serve a copy of this notice of appeal on any other person referred to, and in the case of any appeal to which these regulations apply he may serve a copy of his (4) notice of appeal on any other person having an estate or interest in the premises, vehicle, machinery or equipment in question.

 - On the hearing of an appeal the court mayquash the abatement notice to which the appeal relates, or (5)
 - vary the abatement notice in favour of the appellant, in such manner as it thinks fit, or (a)
 - (b)
 - and an abatement notice that is varied under sub-paragraph (b) above shall be final and shall otherwise have effect, as so varied, as if it had been so (c)
 - made by the local authority.
 - Subject to paragraph (7) below, on the hearing of an appeal the court may make such order as it thinks fit-
 - with respect to the person by whom any work is to be executed and the contribution to be made by any person towards the cost of the work, or as to the proportions in which any expenses which may become recoverable by the authority under Part III of the 1990 Act are to be borne by the appellant and by any other person. (a)
 - (b)
 - (7) In exercising its powers under paragraph (6) above, the courtshall have regard, as between an owner and an occupier, to the terms and conditions, whether contractual or statutory, of any relevant tenancy (a)
 - shall be satisfied, before it imposes any requirement thereunder on any person other than the appellant, that person has received a copy of the (b) notice of appeal in pursuance of paragraph (4) above.

SUSPENSION OF NOTICES

Where -(1) 3.

(6)

(h)

- an appeal is brought against an abatement notice served under section 80 or 80A of the 1990 Act, and -(a)
- (b) either -
- compliance with the abatement notice would involve any person in expenditure on the carrying out of works before the hearing of the (1)
 - in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act, the noise to which the abatement notice relates is noise necessarily caused in the course of the performance of some duty imposed by law on the appellant, and (11)
 - either paragraph (2) does not apply, or it does apply but the requirements of paragraph (3) have not been met, the abatement notice shall be (c) suspended until the appeal has been abandoned or decided by the court.
- This paragraph applies where -(2) the nuisance to which the abatement notice relates-
 - (a)
 - is likely to be of a limited duration such that suspension of the notice would render it of no practical effect, or
 - the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in the carrying out of works in compliance with the abatement notice before any appeal has been decided would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance, (b)
- where paragraph (2) applies the abatement notice shall include a statement that paragraph (2) applies, and that as a consequence it shall have effect notwithstanding any appeal to a magistrates' (3) (a)
 - court which has not been decided by the court, and shall include a statement as to which of the grounds set out in paragraph (2) apply. (b)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990, SECTION 80

F1-1065565

ABATEMENT NOTICE IN RESPECT OF NOISE NUISANCE FROM AMPLIFIED MUSIC

TO THE OCCUPIERS FURLONG RD ISLINGTON N781.5

TAKE NOTICE that under section 79(1)(g) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 Islington Council being satisfied of the *[existence] [likely [occurrence] [recurrence]] of noise amounting to a statutory nuisance arising from the playing of loud amplified music at premises known as

FURLONG ROAD, ISLINGTON, N7 815

[HEREBY REQUIRE YOU as the [person responsible for the nuisance] [owner] [and/or] [occupier] of the premises from which the noise is or would be emitted] to abate the nuisance [immediately] [within] and to prevent its likely [occurrence] [recurrence]. You are therefore

required to:

Take all reasonable steps to ensure that no amplified music from within the above premises is played at levels likely to cause a nuisance to occupiers of nearby premises.

IN the event of an appeal this notice shall have effect, notwithstanding any appeal to a Magistrates' Court which has not been decided by the Court as, in the opinion of the Council, [the noise to which this notice relates is [injurious to health] [likely to be of a limited duration, such that suspension would render the notice of no practical effect]] [the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in carrying out works in compliance with this notice before any appeal has been decided, would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance]

IF without reasonable excuse you contravene or fail to comply with any requirement of this notice you will be guilty of an offence under section 80(4) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and on summary conviction will be liable to a fine not exceeding level 5 of the Standard Scale **, together with a further fine of an amount equal to one-tenth of that level for each day on which the offence continues after conviction. A person who commits an offence on industrial, trade or business premises will be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £20,000. In addition the Court may make an order permanently depriving the owner of noise making equipment causing the offence.

The Council may also take proceedings in the High Court for securing the abatement, prohibition or restriction of the nuisance. Further, if you fail to execute all or any of the works in accordance with this notice, the Council may execute the works and recover from you the necessary expenditure incurred.

| Date: 15TH MARCH 2014 | Signature: |
|--|-----------------------------|
| (Address to which all communications should be sent) | Name: |
| Noise Team, 222 Upper Street, London N1 1XR | |
| Tel. 02075273258 (day) 02075273229 (night) | Title: NOISE PATROL OFFICER |

NOTICE SERVED @ 000211RS.

N.B. The person served with this notice may appeal against the notice to a magistrates' court within twenty-one days beginning with the date of service. See notes on the reverse of this form.

* Delete text in square brackets as appropriate ** Currently £5000, subject to alteration by Order

Document 1.pdf

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990, SECTION 80 ABATEMENT NOTICE IN RESPECT OF NOISE NUISANCE FROM AMPLIFIED MUSIC

THE OCCUPIERS То

PARKHLAST ROAD LONDON NT OLP

TAKE NOTICE that under section 79(1)(g) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 Islington Council being satisfied of the *[existence] [likely [occurrence]] (recurrence]] of noise amounting to a statutory nuisance arising from the playing of loud amplified music at premises known as

PARKHURST ROAD LONDON NT OLP

[HEREBY REQUIRE YOU as the [person responsible for the nuisance] [ewner] [and/or] [occupier] of the premises from which the noise is or would be emitted] to abate the nuisance [immediately] [within _______] and to prevent its likely [occurrence] [recurrence]. You are therefore required to:

Take all reasonable steps to ensure that no amplified music from within the above premises is played at levels likely to cause a nuisance to occupiers of nearby premises.

IN the event of an appeal this notice shall have effect, notwithstanding any appeal to a Magistrates' Court which has not been decided by the Court as, in the opinion of the Council, [the noise to which this notice relates is [injurious to health] [likely-to-be-of-a-limited-duration, such-that suspension-would-render-the-notice-of-no-practical-effect]] [the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in carrying out works in compliance with this notice before any appeal has been decided, would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance]

IF without reasonable excuse you contravene or fail to comply with any requirement of this notice you will be guilty of an offence under section 80(4) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and on summary conviction will be liable to a fine not exceeding level 5 of the Standard Scale **, together with a further fine of an amount equal to one-tenth of that level for each day on which the offence continues after conviction. A person who commits an offence on industrial, trade or business premises will be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £20,000. In addition the Court may make an order permanently depriving the owner of noise making equipment causing the offence.

The Council may also take proceedings in the High Court for securing the abatement, prohibition or restriction of the nuisance. Further, if you fail to execute all or any of the works in accordance with this notice, the Council may execute the works and recover from you the necessary expenditure incurred.

| Date: 25 MAY 2015 | Signature: | | | <i>r</i> |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| (Address to which all communications should be sent) | Name: | 4 | | |
| Noise Team, 222 Upper Street, London N1 1XR | | | | -31 |
| Tel. 02075273258 (day) 02075273229 (night) | Title: | ASR Office | <u>er</u> | |

N.B. The person served with this notice may appeal against the notice to a magistrates' court within twenty-one days beginning with the date of service. See notes on the reverse of this form.

* Delete text in square brackets as appropriate ** Currently £5000, subject to alteration by Order

The Statutory Nuisance (Appeals) Regulations 1995 provide as follows:-

APPEALS UNDER SECTION 50(3) of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990 ("the 1990 Act")

- 2.- (1) The provisions of this regulation apply in relation to an appeal brought by any person under section 80(3) of the 1990 Act (appeals to the Magistrates) against an abatement notice served upon him by a local authority.
 - (2) The grounds on which a person served with such a notice may appeal under section 80(3) are any one or more of the following grounds that are appropriate in the circumstances of the particular case-
 - (a) that the abatement notice is not justified by section 80 of the 1990 Act (summary proceedings for statutory nuisances);
 - (b) that there has been some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice, or in, or in connection with any copy of the abatement notice served under section 80A(3) (certain notices in respect of vehicles, machinery or equipment);
 - (c) that the authority have refused unreasonably to accept compliance with alternative requirements, or that the requirements of the abatement notice are otherwise unreasonable in character or extent, or are unnecessary;
 (d) that the time, or, where more than one time is specified, any of the times, within which the requirements of the abatement notice are to be
 - complied with is not reasonably sufficient for the purpose;
 - (e) where the nuisance to which the notice relates
 - is a nuisance falling within section 79(1)(a), (d), (e), (f) or (g) of the 1990 Act and arises on industrial, trade or business premises, or is a nuisance falling within section 78(1)(b) of the 1990 Act and the smoke is emitted from a chimney, or
 - (II)
 - is a nuisance failing within section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act and is noise emitted from or caused by a vehicle, machinery or equipment (8) being used for industrial, trade or business purposes,
 - that the best practicable means were used to prevent, or to counteract the effects of, the nuisance;
 - that, in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from premises), the requirements imposed by the (1) abalement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of that Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time being in force, in relation to the noise to which the notice relates, of
 - any notice served under section 60 or 66 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 ("the 1974 Act"), or **(1)**
 - any consent given under section 61 or 65 of the 1974 Act, or (8)
 - any determination made under section 67 of the 1974 Act; (iii)
 - (g) that, in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from or caused by vehicles, machinery or equipment), the requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time being in force, in relation to the noise to which the notice relates of any condition of a consent given under paragraph 1 of Schedule 2 to the Noise and Statutory Nulsance Act 1993 (loudspeakers in streets or roads);
 - that the abatement notice should have been served on some person instead of the appellant, being -(h)
 - the person responsible for the nuisance, or
 - the person responsible for the vehicle, machinery or equipment, or
 - In the case of a nuisance arising from any defect of a structural character, the owner of the premises, or (lif)
 - in the case where the person responsible for the nuisance cannot be found or the nuisance has not yet occurred, the owner or occupier of (Iv) the premises;
 - that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person instead of the appellant being -
 - in the case where the appellant is the owner of the premises, the occupier of the premises, or መ
 - In the case where the appellant is the occupier of the premises, the owner of the premises, and that it would have been equitable for it to (11) have been so served;
 - that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person in addition to the appellant, being -0)
 - a person also responsible for the nuisance, or (1)
 - a person who is also an owner of the premises, or (ii)
 - (iii) a person who is also an occupier of the premises,
 - a person who is also the person responsible for the vahicle, machinery or equipment, (W)
 - and that it would have been equitable for it to have been so served.
 - (3) If and so far as an appeal is based on the ground of some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice or in, or in connection with, any copy of the notice served under section 80A(3), the court shall dismiss the appeal if it is satisfied that the informality, defect or error was not a material one.
 - (4) Where the grounds upon which an appeal is brought include a ground specified in paragraph (2)(i) or (j) above, the appellant shall serve a copy of his notice of appeal on any other person referred to, and in the case of any appeal to which these regulations apply he may serve a copy of his notice of appeal on any other person having an estate or interest in the premises, vehicle, machinery or equipment in question.
 - (5) On the hearing of an appeal the court may-
 - (a) quash the abatement notice to which the appeal relates, or
 - vary the abatement notice in favour of the appellant, in such manner as it thinks fil, or (b)
 - dismiss the appeal; (c)
 - and an abatement notice that is varied under sub-paragraph (b) above shall be final and shall otherwise have effect, as so varied, as if it had been so made by the local authority.
 - (6) Subject to paragraph (7) below, on the hearing of an appeal the court may make such order as it thinks fit-
 - (a) with respect to the person by whom any work is to be executed and the contribution to be made by any person towards the cost of the work, or
 - (b) as to the proportions in which any expenses which may become recoverable by the authority under Part III of the 1990 Act are to be borne by the appellant and by any other person.
 - (7) In exercising its powers under paragraph (6) above, the court-
 - (a) shall have regard, as between an owner and an occupier, to the terms and conditions, whether contractual or statutory, of any relevant tenancy and to the nature of the works required, and
 - (b) shall be satisfied, before it imposes any requirement thereunder on any person other than the appellant, that person has received a copy of the notice of appeal in pursuance of paragraph (4) above.

SUSPENSION OF NOTICES

- (1) Where -3.
 - (a) an appeal is brought against an abatement notice served under section 80 or 80A of the 1990 Acl, and -
 - (b) either
 - compliance with the abatement notice would involve any person in expenditure on the carrying out of works before the hearing of the (i) appeal, or
 - in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act, the noise to which the abatement notice relates is noise (1) necessarily caused in the course of the performance of some duty imposed by law on the appellant, and
 - either paragraph (2) does not apply, or it does apply but the requirements of paragraph (3) have not been met, the abatement notice shall be (C) suspended until the appeal has been abandoned or decided by the court.
 - (2) This paragraph applies where -
 - (a) the nuisance to which the abatement notice relates
 - is injurious to health, or
 - is likely to be of a limited duration such that suspension of the notice would render it of no practical effect, or (8)
 - the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in the carrying out of works in compliance with the abatement notice before any appeal (b) has been decided would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance,
 - (3) where paragraph (2) applies the abatement notice -
 - (a) shall include a statement that paragraph (2) applies, and that as a consequence it shall have effect notwithstanding any appeal to a magistrates' court which has not been decided by the court, and
 - shall include a statement as to which of the grounds set out in paragraph (2) apply. (b)

From:Ned JohnsonTo:Lorraine CordellCc:Andy Higham; Robert Oles; Theresa DoddSubject:RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]Date:11 January 2016 12:17:36Attachments:image006.png
image007.png

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Ms. Cordell,

Thank you for your email; I have rechecked our database and indeed, I did make a mistake and missed one complaint which was received by our Residential Noise Team on 20/04/14, it was the only complaint received by the Council prior to the ones listed in the FOI response sent to you. The officer who received the complaint tried to contact the customer who made it on several occasions but was unable to do so and as such we were unable to verify the complaint. The next complaint received was then on 18/05/14 as stated in my original response.

We did not receive any further complaints after June 2014 in regard to the Man Building and therefore as far as we were aware the matter had been resolved.

A Noise Abatement Notice was not served on the squatters as we would not have been able to verify any names given, if indeed they would have given a name and it would have been unenforceable as it is extremely unlikely that we would have been able to take anybody to court who was squatting. The line taken was to pursue the owners of the building who then needed to evict the squatters and secure the premises, which they did; serving a Noise Abatement Notice would have had no effect on the owners as they were already taking the necessary steps to stop the problem.

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson Principal Officer Pollution Pollution Control & Planning Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

To: Ned Johnson Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Ned Johnson

I am writing this email due to a FOI request I put in some time ago.

It has come to my attention that some of the information you have given me in incorrect and was wondering if you could comment on this.

In my FOI request you said that Crown Road information started on the 18/5/2014, but I have found news paper information that this started much earlier then this.

I have a news paper that is dated the 25/04/2014 which was printed after a 15 hour rave took place there on the 19/04/2014, which is much earlier then the 18/05/2014 as the date you gave me that this started.

http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/11172103.Residents_fume_over_15_hour__rave_/

And one that was in printed on 9 September 2014 a paper saying The MAN building, in Crown Road, on the junction with Southbury Road, Enfield, has also been used for illegal raves and parties in the last few months which these words would say the events was going on much later then June 2014 that you have given in the FOI request.

http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/11459487.Listed_building_wrecked_by_graffiti/

I still also can not understand why an abatement notice order was not put in place due to the amount of events that took place there. I do understand on the days of the events it could be classed as unsafe due to the amount of people, but this site was being squatted and there would have been far less people there during the weeks when these events were not going on. And can not understand why an abatement notice order was not put in place during the time when these events were not going on.

On the 6th 07th and 08th June 2014 the council were aware an event was ongoing at Crown Road.

On the 6th 07th and 08th June 2014 the council were aware an event was ongoing at Progress way.

On the 08th June the council attended with police to Progress Way to serve paper work this was not served to any persons within the site of Progress Way.

But if you were with police, which your team was why was paper work not tried to be served at Crown Road site also as your team was aware off an event also going on there.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Ned Johnson [mailto:Ned.Johnson@enfield.gov.uk] Sent: 09 March 2015 12:21 To: Lorraine Cordell Cc: Esg Complaints Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Ms. Cordell,

In terms of the number of events there was one further rave that took place on 25th March 2013 at Progress Way, other than this occurrence I have provided you with the dates and locations of all the illegal raves/parties that are recorded on our database as well as all other data we hold that you requested. The rave on March 25th 2013 was attended by the Out of Hours Noise Team, assistance was requested from the police but they were unable to help on that occasion.

The events at Crown Road were over a period of several weeks not months, during which time we were in regular contact with the new site owners who worked to get the site secured and the power turned off. The Out of Hours Noise Team undertook observations of the noise during the event on May 31st/June 1st but did not visit the party as the team decided that it was unsafe to do so due to the nature and location of the event and provided information to the daytime officer who ensured the owners undertook the necessary works.

The complaints received on Sunday June 8th were all received after the council's Out of Hours Service had finished at 03:00 and therefore no response was possible.

The Out of Hours Team respond to all complaints received but will only visit a premises where it is safe for them to do so and in the case of illegal raves/parties quite often there are officer safety issues which prevent visits at night time during the event, unless police support can be gained. Following illegal raves/parties we do make every effort to get a building secured as soon as possible to prevent the same thing happening again.

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson Principal Officer Health Safety & Pollution Pollution Control, Planning & Licensing Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

Dear Ned Johnson

Thank you for the reply to the freedom of information act I put in.

I do however believe there is a lot of data that has been left out, so maybe I need to clarify the data that is being requested.

- To information is regarding Disused or abandoned buildings or any industrial estates buildings or open air land. For the dates all of 2013 to date.
- This would include all data if there were occupiers/squatters in said building/parties/raves. This would include the dates these buildings/Disused or abandoned buildings/ or any industrial estates was first known to the Environment & Street Scene Department.
- All information would cover all wards boundaries for Enfield Council.

Information is also needed for some areas within the Enfield Council borough.

The information would cover if police were in attendance, if calls were made to the Environment & Street Scene Department by police, and any police officer information that the Environment & Street Scene Department holds about any police officer.

This information would also include any calls that were made from the Environment & Street Scene Department to police in relation to any Disused or abandoned buildings or any industrial estates buildings or open air land that the Environment & Street Scene Department felt could have a problem with.

There is also an issue with the information in your email

- 18/5/14: 3 calls after event
- 19/5/14: 6 calls after event
- 21/5/14: 1 call after event

But have not given the date of the event itself, are the below layout ones was when events have taken place as it just has calls at the end of the dates could you please clarify

- 31/5/14: 2 calls
- 1/6/14: 6 calls

Also in your email it seems that there was more of a problem with crown road over some months but from how I am reading your email it seems no one ever attended from the Environment & Street Scene Department on any of the dates in your list can this also be clarified in more detail.

I know you have until the 10/03/2015 to supply the information I have asked for. But I do feel your email was very incomplete, I do hope that I have not got to wait 20 more days now as I need all the data by 10/03/2015 and I did ask for all information and I feel that has not been given.

Could you get back to me via email as to the time it will take to get all the information I have asked for within my request?

Regards

Lorraine

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Miss Cordell,

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 - INFORMATION REQUEST

Thank you for your email received on February 10th 2015 where you requested information regarding illegal raves/parties. In response to your questions:

All dates and times and addresses to any illegal rave/parties where the Noise and Nuisance Team attended:

8/6/14, no time recorded, Progress Way, Enfield.

Any paper work was served to any person/persons and if need known. copies of any paper work served:

No paperwork served.

All the calls that were made on any dates to the Noise and Nuisance Team to make them aware that an illegal rave/parties were taking place

Progress Way: 13/6/14: 1 call 12/6/14: 2 calls after event 9/6/14: 2 calls after event 8/6/14: 6 calls 7/6/14: 8 calls

Leeside Road: 15/7/13: 1 call after event

46 Crown Road: 18/5/14: 3 calls after event 19/5/14: 6 calls after event 21/5/14: 1 call after event 31/5/14: 2 calls 1/6/14: 6 calls 2/6/14: 4 calls after event 4/6/14: 1 call after event 6/6/14: 1 call 8/6/14: 3 calls 9/6/14: 1 call after event 13/6/14: 2 calls Any noise abatement orders that was put on any addresses where an illegal rave/parties was taking place. This would include any noise abatement that were put in place before an illegal rave/parties took place. This would include dates and times the noise abatement, orders were served on an address and to whom and to forward copies of any such noise abatement orders within this request:

No noise abatement notices served.

Personal names who attended the address and times and dates of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team and any police officer names or IDs that attended with the Noise and Nuisance Team:

Progress way: 2 Enforcement Officers attended form the Out of Hours Noise Team, 8/6/14, no times noted.

Any reports made up for any of the addresses in full for the dates listed above for any illegal rave/parties.

No reports made.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original letter and should be addressed to:

Theresa Dodd Correspondence & Complaints Manager Environment & Street Scene Department PO Box 52 Civic Centre Silver Street Enfield EN1 3XE 020 8379 3540 Email – <u>theresa.dodd@enfield.gov.uk</u>

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson Principal Officer Pollution Pollution Control, Planning & Licensing Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED



Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED



Create an account today

for quick and easy access to council services.



Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED



Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.

Dear Ned Johnson,

Thank you for the reply email and the update.

I have other information due to investigations I have done and due to speaking to people within the area. That it was not just the one date in April 2014 there were events at Crown Road.

The dates I have been given that events took place at Crown Road are:

12th / 13th April 2014 19th / 20th April 2014 (confirmed) 26th / 27th April 2014

03rd / 04th May 2014 17th / 18th May 2014 (confirmed) 31st / 01st May and June 2014 (confirmed)

06th 07th 08th June 2014 (confirmed) 13th / 14th June 2014 (confirmed)

Some of these dates have already been given that events took place within the FOI I requested.

Also you say you did not serve a Noise Abatement Notice on the squatters / occupiers, as it would have had no benefit to do so as you would not have been able to take anyone to court, and I do understand that the council was working with the owners of the building to deal with this problem.

But I am sure if you had served a Noise Abatement Notice to the squatters / occupiers / Building, it would have covered not just to take someone to court. It would have covered the council to have seized sound equipment and due to this stopped the events far sooner with a Noise Abatement Notice in place on the building then not having served one at all.

I believe that is the reason a Noise Abatement Notice can be served on occupiers / Buildings under Environmental Protection Act 1990 section 80

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Ned Johnson [mailto:Ned.Johnson@enfield.gov.uk]
Sent: 11 January 2016 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Cc: Andy Higham; Robert Oles; Theresa Dodd
Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Ms. Cordell,

Thank you for your email; I have rechecked our database and indeed, I did make a mistake and missed one complaint which was received by our Residential Noise Team on 20/04/14, it was the only complaint received by the Council prior to the ones listed in the FOI response sent to

you. The officer who received the complaint tried to contact the customer who made it on several occasions but was unable to do so and as such we were unable to verify the complaint. The next complaint received was then on 18/05/14 as stated in my original response.

We did not receive any further complaints after June 2014 in regard to the Man Building and therefore as far as we were aware the matter had been resolved.

A Noise Abatement Notice was not served on the squatters as we would not have been able to verify any names given, if indeed they would have given a name and it would have been unenforceable as it is extremely unlikely that we would have been able to take anybody to court who was squatting. The line taken was to pursue the owners of the building who then needed to evict the squatters and secure the premises, which they did; serving a Noise Abatement Notice would have had no effect on the owners as they were already taking the necessary steps to stop the problem.

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson Principal Officer Pollution Pollution Control & Planning Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 January 2016 16:59
To: Ned Johnson
Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Ned Johnson

I am writing this email due to a FOI request I put in some time ago.

It has come to my attention that some of the information you have given me in incorrect and was wondering if you could comment on this.

In my FOI request you said that Crown Road information started on the 18/5/2014, but I have found news paper information that this started much earlier then this.

I have a news paper that is dated the 25/04/2014 which was printed after a 15 hour rave took place there on the 19/04/2014, which is much earlier then the 18/05/2014 as the date you gave me that this started.

http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/11172103.Residents_fume_over_15_hour__rave /

And one that was in printed on 9 September 2014 a paper saying The MAN building, in Crown Road, on the junction with Southbury Road, Enfield, has also been used for illegal raves and parties in the last few months which these words would say the events was going on much later then June 2014 that you have given in the FOI request.

http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/11459487.Listed_building_wrecked_by_graffiti/

I still also can not understand why an abatement notice order was not put in place due to the amount of events that took place there. I do understand on the days of the events it could be classed as unsafe due to the amount of people, but this site was being squatted and there would have been far less people there during the weeks when these events were not going on. And can not understand why an abatement notice order was not put in place during the time when these events were not going on.

On the 6th 07th and 08th June 2014 the council were aware an event was ongoing at Crown Road.

On the 6th 07th and 08th June 2014 the council were aware an event was ongoing at Progress way.

On the 08th June the council attended with police to Progress Way to serve paper work this was not served to any persons within the site of Progress Way.

But if you were with police, which your team was why was paper work not tried to be served at Crown Road site also as your team was aware off an event also going on there.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Ned Johnson [mailto:Ned.Johnson@enfield.gov.uk] Sent: 09 March 2015 12:21 To: Lorraine Cordell Cc: Esg Complaints Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Ms. Cordell,

In terms of the number of events there was one further rave that took place on 25th March 2013 at Progress Way, other than this occurrence I have provided you with the dates and locations of all the illegal raves/parties that are recorded on our database as well as all other data we hold that you requested. The rave on March 25th 2013 was attended by the Out of Hours Noise Team, assistance was requested from the police but they were unable to help on that occasion.

The events at Crown Road were over a period of several weeks not months, during which time we were in regular contact with the new site owners who worked to get the site secured and the power turned off. The Out of Hours Noise Team undertook observations of the noise during

the event on May 31st/June 1st but did not visit the party as the team decided that it was unsafe to do so due to the nature and location of the event and provided information to the daytime officer who ensured the owners undertook the necessary works.

The complaints received on Sunday June 8th were all received after the council's Out of Hours Service had finished at 03:00 and therefore no response was possible.

The Out of Hours Team respond to all complaints received but will only visit a premises where it is safe for them to do so and in the case of illegal raves/parties quite often there are officer safety issues which prevent visits at night time during the event, unless police support can be gained. Following illegal raves/parties we do make every effort to get a building secured as soon as possible to prevent the same thing happening again.

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson

Principal Officer Health Safety & Pollution Pollution Control, Planning & Licensing Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 06 March 2015 15:22 To: Ned Johnson Subject: RE: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Ned Johnson

Thank you for the reply to the freedom of information act I put in.

I do however believe there is a lot of data that has been left out, so maybe I need to clarify the data that is being requested.

- To information is regarding Disused or abandoned buildings or any industrial estates buildings or open air land. For the dates all of 2013 to date.
- This would include all data if there were occupiers/squatters in said building/parties/raves. This would include the dates these buildings/Disused or abandoned buildings/ or any industrial estates was first known to the Environment & Street Scene Department.
- All information would cover all wards boundaries for Enfield Council.

Information is also needed for some areas within the Enfield Council borough.

The information would cover if police were in attendance, if calls were made to the Environment & Street Scene Department by police, and any police officer information that the Environment & Street Scene Department holds about any police officer.

This information would also include any calls that were made from the Environment & Street Scene Department to police in relation to any Disused or abandoned buildings or any industrial estates buildings or open air land that the Environment & Street Scene Department felt could have a problem with.

There is also an issue with the information in your email

- 18/5/14: 3 calls after event
- 19/5/14: 6 calls after event
- 21/5/14: 1 call after event

But have not given the date of the event itself, are the below layout ones was when events have taken place as it just has calls at the end of the dates could you please clarify

- 31/5/14: 2 calls
- 1/6/14: 6 calls

Also in your email it seems that there was more of a problem with crown road over some months but from how I am reading your email it seems no one ever attended from the Environment & Street Scene Department on any of the dates in your list can this also be clarified in more detail.

I know you have until the 10/03/2015 to supply the information I have asked for. But I do feel your email was very incomplete, I do hope that I have not got to wait 20 more days now as I need all the data by 10/03/2015 and I did ask for all information and I feel that has not been given.

Could you get back to me via email as to the time it will take to get all the information I have asked for within my request?

Regards

Lorraine

From: Ned Johnson [mailto:Ned.Johnson@enfield.gov.uk]
Sent: 05 March 2015 16:39
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Cc: Esg Complaints
Subject: RE FOI 11845 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Miss Cordell,

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 - INFORMATION REQUEST

Thank you for your email received on February 10th 2015 where you requested information regarding illegal raves/parties. In response to your questions:

All dates and times and addresses to any illegal rave/parties where the Noise and Nuisance

Team attended:

8/6/14, no time recorded, Progress Way, Enfield.

Any paper work was served to any person/persons and if need known. copies of any paper work served:

No paperwork served.

All the calls that were made on any dates to the Noise and Nuisance Team to make them aware that an illegal rave/parties were taking place

Progress Way: 13/6/14: 1 call 12/6/14: 2 calls after event 9/6/14: 2 calls after event 8/6/14: 6 calls 7/6/14: 8 calls

Leeside Road: 15/7/13: 1 call after event

46 Crown Road: 18/5/14: 3 calls after event 19/5/14: 6 calls after event 21/5/14: 1 call after event 31/5/14: 2 calls 1/6/14: 6 calls 2/6/14: 4 calls after event 4/6/14: 1 call after event 6/6/14: 1 call 8/6/14: 3 calls 9/6/14: 1 call after event 13/6/14: 2 calls

Any noise abatement orders that was put on any addresses where an illegal rave/parties was taking place. This would include any noise abatement that were put in place before an illegal rave/parties took place. This would include dates and times the noise abatement, orders were served on an address and to whom and to forward copies of any such noise abatement orders within this request:

No noise abatement notices served.

Personal names who attended the address and times and dates of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team and any police officer names or IDs that attended with the Noise and Nuisance Team:

Progress way: 2 Enforcement Officers attended form the Out of Hours Noise Team, 8/6/14, no

times noted.

Any reports made up for any of the addresses in full for the dates listed above for any illegal rave/parties.

No reports made.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original letter and should be addressed to:

Theresa Dodd Correspondence & Complaints Manager Environment & Street Scene Department PO Box 52 Civic Centre Silver Street Enfield EN1 3XE 020 8379 3540 Email – <u>theresa.dodd@enfield.gov.uk</u>

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

Ned Johnson Principal Officer Pollution Pollution Control, Planning & Licensing Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department Enfield Council

www.enfield.gov.uk

Protect the Environment – Think Before You Print.

"Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough, fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities."

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED



<u>Follow us on Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u> <u>Muttp://www.enfield.gov.uk Budget</u> <u>Simulator</u>

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED



Create an account today for quick and easy access to council services



Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy,

distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.



Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.