

WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of Steve ELSMORE..... URN:

Age if under 18 Over 18..... (if over 18 insert Over 18) Occupation: Police Officer 206372

This statement (consisting of: ... 12... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have willfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

[Handwritten signature]

Signature:

Date: ... 11/8/14.....

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded (supply witness details on rear)

I am a police officer attached to the Anti-Social Behavior Team as part of the Community Safety Unit based at Enfield Civic Centre:

This is a statement of hearsay evidence compiled from police records to be relied upon in regards to an application as required by Section 1(c) of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 as amended by the Anti-Social Behavior Act 2003 against Simon CORDELL born 21st January 1981, of 109 Bumcroft Avenue, Enfield. EN3.

I have looked at the following police databases, Police National Computer (PNC), Crime Reporting and Information System (CRIS) and Criminal Intelligence (CRIMIN1). The PNC holds information concerning arrests, case progression and previous convictions; it also holds information about vehicle ownership. CRIS is the database police use to record crime and document the investigation. The CRIMINT database holds intelligence provided by Members of the Metropolitan Police Service or information they have been told by members of the public.

This statement aims to show supporting evidence of the course of behavior by Simon Cordell will be providing the hearsay evidence obtained from these police indices as this information has either been witnessed first-hand by the officers themselves or passed on by independent witnesses. There is an operational need to protect the identity of some witnesses who, for example, are too frightened due to fear of reprisal by the Defendant to give evidence. Those witnesses whose names are known and were content for their identity to be disclosed are given in the statement. In the case of the information obtained from these police identity coming from named members of the Police Service it is felt that it is neither practicable nor proportionate to require each witness to be called individually to give evidence in court.

Signature: [Handwritten signature]

Signature witnessed by: