

Application for an Anti-social Behaviour Order  
and Interim Anti-Social Behaviour Order

(Crime and Disorder Act 1998, si as amended by die Police Reform ACT 2002)

Highbury Comer Magistrates<sup>5</sup> Court  
51-53 Holloway Road London N7  
SJA (*Code: 2572*)

Date: 5 September 2014

Applicant: The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis

Defendant: Simon Cordell

Address: 109 BURNCROFT Avenue, Enfield, Middlesex, EN3 7JQ

Date of Birth: 26/01/1981

Local Government Area in  
respect of which Application

is made: London Borough of Enfield

Relevant Authorities consulted: London Borough of Enfield

And it is alleged:

I. that the defendant has acted on dates between January 2013 to date (the last such incident being 10 August 2014) La the London Borough of Enfield in an anti-social manner, that is to say, in a manner that caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to one or more persons not of the same household as himself; and

- II. that an anti-social behaviour order is necessary to protect relevant persons from further anti-social acts by him and accordingly application is made for an anti-social behaviour order containing the following prohibitions:

The Defendant is prohibited from:

- a. Attending a rave as defined by s.63 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994;
- b. Being concerned in the organisation of a rave as defined by s.63 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994;
- c. Knowingly using or supplying property, personal or otherwise, for use in a rave as defined by s.63 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994;
- d. Entering or remaining in any disused or abandoned building;
- e. Entering or remaining on non-residential private property on an industrial estate between the hours of 10pm and 7am without written, permission from the owner and/or leaseholder of the property; and
- f. Engaging in any licensable activity in an unlicensed premise.

Short description of acts complained of:

The Defendant is involved in the organisation and conduct of illegal raves. These primarily take place on disused or industrial land in London and cause harassment alarm and distress to local residents. These raves are a licensable activity', cause significant noise pollution and directly lead to destruction of property and breaches of the peace. It is specifically alleged that the Defendant:

- 12.01.13 was involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at Canary Wharf
- 24.05.13 was looking for venues to set up an illegal rave
- 25.05.14 was involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at Unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate. While Hart lane, M17

- 07.06.14 was involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at an empty.- warehouse on Progress Way, Enfield was
- 20.C6.14 involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at I Falcon Park., Neasden Lane, NWT0 was involved
- 19.07.14 in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at the Carpet-right Showroom on the A10 Great Cambridge Road, Enfield
- 24.07.14 admitted to police officers that he organised illegal raves.
- 27.07.14 was involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on Millmarsh Lane, Enfield
- 09-
- 10.08.14 was involved in the organisation of and/or supplied equipment for and/or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on Millmarsh Lane, Enfield. The Defendant further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace

The complaint of: DC Steve Elsmore on behalf of die Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis

Address: c/o Directorate of Legal Services, New Scotland Yard,  
London SW1H 0BG R/f  
U/j07087 (SAC

who (upon oath) states that the defendant was responsible for die acts of which particulars are given above, in respect of which this complaint is made.

Taken (and sworn) before me

District Judge  
(Justices' Clerk]

Summons on Application for Anti-Social Behaviour Order  
(Crime and Disorder Act 1998, Section 1)

Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court  
51-53 Holloway Road London N7 8JA  
Court Reference: 2572

Date: 11/19/14  
To the defendant: Simon Cordell  
Address: 109 Burncroft Avenue  
Enfield  
Middlesex  
EN3 7JQ

You are hereby summoned to appear on 16th day of October <sup>2014</sup> before the Magistrates' court at Highbury Corner at 1:20 pm to answer an application for an anti-social behaviour order; the application is attached to this summons.

Note: Where the court is satisfied that you received this summons within what appears to the court to be a reasonable time before the hearing or adjourned hearing, it may proceed in your absence.

If an Anti-social Behaviour Order is made against you and if, without reasonable excuse, you do anything you are prohibited from doing by such an order you shall be liable on conviction on indictment to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years or a fine, or to both.

*JP Legal Advice* Justice of the Peace  
*(JCR 05)* [Justices' Clerks]  
Rule 6(3)

WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27,1

Statement of Jane JOHNSON.....

Age if under 18 Over 18 ..... (if <r 1\* insert over IS ) Occupation: Borough Commander

This statement (consisting of: ... 1..... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] CA SWT YE Date: 30th October 2014.

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded  (supply witness details on rear)

am the Metropolitan Police Borough Commander for the London Borough of Enfield.

This statement is to confirm that on 13th August 2014, a consultation, as required by s.1E (3) of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, was held between The Metropolitan Police and Enfield Council. This was to discuss an application by The Metropolitan Police for an Anti-Social Behaviour Order in relation to Simon Cordell.

Signature: , iCc\*. .. Signature witnessed by

### WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of Steve HODGSON..... URN: 

--	--	--	--

Age if under 18 Over 18..... (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: ASB & Crime Manager LBC


This statement (consisting of .... 1..... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:  Date: 30/10/14

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded  (supply witness details on rear)

My name is Steve HODGSON and I am the ASB and Crime Manager for the London Borough of Enfield Council.

This statement is to confirm that on 13th August 2014, a consultation, as required by S.1E (3) of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, was held between Enfield Council and The Metropolitan Police. This was to discuss an application by The Metropolitan Police for an Anti Social Behaviour Order in relation to Simon CORDELL, which is supported.

Signature:  Signature witnessed by: .....

IN THE Highbury Corner Magistrates\* Court  
IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR ORDER

BETWEEN:

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE OF THE METROPOLIS

Applicant

and

SIMON CORDELL

Respondent

HEARSAY NOTICE

TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the Magistrates' Courts (Hearsay Evidence in Civil Proceedings) Rules 1999, the Applicant intends to rely on hearsay evidence at the hearing of this application and at any subsequent hearing.

Such hearsay evidence is as follows:

1. Evidence contained in the witness statement of A/PS Charles Miles dated 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2014
2. Evidence contained in the witness statement of A/inspector Hamill dated 6<sup>th</sup> August 2014
3. Evidence contained in the two-witness statements of PC Donald McMillan dated 14 August 2014 and 19 August 2014
4. Evidence contained in the two-witness statements of A/inspector Douglas Skinner dated 15 August 2014 and 9 September 2014
5. Evidence contained in the witness statement of A/P3 Jason Ames dated 15 August 2014

1. Evidence contained in the two-witness statements of PC Aaron King dated 15 August 2014 and 7 September 2014
2. Evidence contained in the witness statements of PC John Anderson dated 19 August 2014
3. Evidence contained in the witness statement of PC Eric Barker dated 19 August 2014
4. Evidence contained in the witness statement of PC Edgoose dated 31 August 2014

The Applicant believes that it is disproportionate in the context of this case to call these witnesses to give oral evidence and that to do so would not be an efficient use of police and public resources.

You have seven days from the date of service on you of this notice to make an application to the Clerk of Justices at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court for permission to call the aforementioned witnesses to be cross examined.

Signed  .....

Date..... 11th September 2014

On behalf of the Applicant's Solicitor Hugh Giles,  
Directorate of Legal Services Metropolitan Police  
Service Directorate of Legal Services  
New Scotland Yard  
8-10 Broadway  
London SW1H 0BG



IN THE HIGHBURY CORNER MAGISTRATES' COURT

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR ORDER

BETWEEN.

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE OF THE METROPOLIS  
and

Applicant

SIMON CORDELL

Respondent

HEARSAY NOTICE


TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the Magistrates' Courts (Hearsay Evidence in Civil Proceeding) Rules 1999, the Applicant intends to rely on hearsay evidence at the hearing of this application and at any subsequent hearing.

Such hearsay evidence is as follows:

1. Evidence contained in the witness statement of Chief Superintendent Jane Johnson dated 30<sup>th</sup> October 2014
2. Evidence contained in the witness statement of Steve Hodgson dated 30<sup>th</sup> October 2014

The Applicant believes that it is disproportionate in the context of this case to call these witnesses to give oral evidence and that to do so would not be an efficient use of police and public resources.

Signed

  
STUART GIVENS  
LEGAL EXECUTIVE

Date... 30<sup>th</sup> October 2014

On behalf of the Applicant's Solicitor Hugh  
Giles, Directorate of Legal Services  
Metropolitan Police Service Directorate of  
Legal Services  
New Scotland Yard  
8-10 Broadway  
London SW1H0BG