

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.