The Statutory Nulsance (Appeals) Regulations 1995 provide as follows:-

APPEALS UNDER SECTION 80(3) of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990 ("the 1990 Act")

- The provisions of this regulation apply in relation to an appeal brought by any person under section 80(3) of the 1990 Act (appeals to the Magistrates) against an abatement notice served upon him by a local authority.
 - The grounds on which a person served with such a notice may appeal under section 80(3) are any one or more of the following grounds that are appropriate in the circumstances of the particular case-

that the abatement notice is not justified by section 80 of the 1990 Act (summary proceedings for statutory nuisances);

that there has been some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice, or in, or in connection with any copy of the abatement notice served under section 80A(3) (certain notices in respect of vehicles, machinery or equipment); (b)

that the authority have refused unreasonably to accept compliance with alternative requirements, or that the requirements of the abatement notice are otherwise unreasonable in character or extent, or are unnecessary; (c)

that the time, or, where more than one time is specified, any of the times, within which the requirements of the abatement notice are to be complied with is not reasonably sufficient for the purpose;

where the nuisance to which the notice relates (e)

is a nulsance falling within section 79(1)(a), (d), (e), (f) or (g) of the 1990 Act and arises on industrial, trade or business premises, or

is a nulsance falling within section 79(1)(b) of the 1990 Act and the smoke is emitted from a chimney, or

is a nuisance falling within section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act and is noise emitted from or caused by a vehicle, machinery or equipment (iii) being used for industrial, trade or business purposes,

that the best practicable means were used to prevent, or to counteract the effects of, the nuisance;

- that, in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from premises), the requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of that Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time being in force, in relation to the noise to which the notice relates, of
 - any notice served under section 60 or 66 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 ("the 1974 Act"), or

any consent given under section 61 or 65 of the 1974 Act, or

that, in the case of a nulsance under section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from or caused by vehicles, machinery or equipment), the that, in the case of a nulsance under section 79(1)(ga) of the 1990 Act (noise emitted from or caused by vehicles, machinery or equipment), the requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements for the time requirements imposed by the abatement notice by virtue of section 80(1)(a) of the Act are more onerous than the requirements in the region of the regi any determination made under section 67 of the 1974 Act; being in force, in relation to the noise to which the notice relates of any condition of a consent given under paragraph 1 of Schedule 2 to the Noise and Statutory Nuisance Act 1993 (loudspeakers in streets or roads);

that the abatement notice should have been served on some person instead of the appellant, being -

the person responsible for the nulsance, or

the person responsible for the vehicle, machinery or equipment, or

In the case of a nulsance arising from any detect of a structural character, the owner of the premises, or

In the case where the person responsible for the nuisance cannot be found or the nuisance has not yet occurred, the owner or occupier of

that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person instead of the appellant being (1)

in the case where the appellant is the owner of the premises, the occupier of the premises, or in the case where the appellant is the occupier of the premises, the owner of the premises, and that it would have been equitable for it to

(ii)

that the abatement notice might lawfully have been served on some person in addition to the appellant, being -(i)

a person also responsible for the nuisance, or

a person who is also an owner of the premises, or a person who is also an occupier of the premises,

a person who is also the person responsible for the vehicle, machinery or equipment,

and that it would have been equitable for it to have been so served.

(3) If and so far as an appeal is based on the ground of some informality, defect or error in, or in connection with, the abatement notice or in, or in connection with, any copy of the notice served under section 80A(3), the court shall dismiss the appeal if it is satisfied that the informality, defect or

Where the grounds upon which an appeal is brought include a ground specified in paragraph (2)(1) or (j) above, the appellant shall serve a copy of his notice of appeal on any other person referred to, and in the case of any appeal to which these regulations apply he may serve a copy of his notice of appeal on any other person having an estate or interest in the premises, vehicle, machinery or equipment in question.

On the hearing of an appeal the court may-

quash the abatement notice to which the appeal relates, or

vary the abatement notice in favour of the appellant, in such manner as it thinks fit, or

and an abatement notice that is varied under sub-paragraph (b) above shall be final and shall otherwise have effect, as so varied, as if it had been so (c) made by the local authority.

Subject to paragraph (7) below, on the hearing of an appeal the court may make such order as it thinks fit-

with respect to the person by whom any work is to be executed and the contribution to be made by any person towards the cost of the work, or as to the proportions in which any expenses which may become recoverable by the authority under Part III of the 1990 Act are to be bome by the appellant and by any other person.

(7) In exercising its powers under paragraph (6) above, the court-

shall have regard, as between an owner and an occupier, to the terms and conditions, whether contractual or statutory, of any relevant tenancy

shall be satisfied, before it imposes any requirement thereunder on any person other than the appellant, that person has received a copy of the notice of appeal in pursuance of paragraph (4) above.

SUSPENSION OF NOTICES

Where -(1)

an appeal is brought against an abatement notice served under section 80 or 80A of the 1990 Act, and -(a)

(b)

compliance with the abatement notice would involve any person in expenditure on the carrying out of works before the hearing of the either -(1)

in the case of a nuisance under section 79(1)(g) or (ga) of the 1990 Act, the noise to which the abatement notice relates is noise necessarily caused in the course of the performance of some duty imposed by law on the appellant, and

either paragraph (2) does not apply, or it does apply but the requirements of paragraph (3) have not been met, the abatement notice shall be suspended until the appeal has been abandoned or decided by the court.

This paragraph applies where -

the nuisance to which the abatement notice relates-(a)

is likely to be of a limited duration such that suspension of the notice would render it of no practical effect, or

the expenditure which would be incurred by any person in the carrying out of works in compliance with the abatement notice before any appeal has been decided would not be disproportionate to the public benefit to be expected in that period from such compliance,

court which has not been decided by the court, and

where paragraph (2) applies the abatement notice shall include a statement that paragraph (2) applies, and that as a consequence it shall have effect notwithstanding any appeal to a magistrates'

shall include a statement as to which of the grounds set out in paragraph (2) apply-