

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "During the course of the shift police had contact with several groups that had been attending at the Rave all of which were extremely intoxicated and there behaviour had clearly been using drugs which they all confirm they had used but on police contact did not have any drugs on them."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; ""At no point did he travel with any of the said people in relation to the police statements, nor did he invite them to any place to rave or attended to supply any equipment or source of entertainment for them or any drinks or drugs."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; as officers were not permitted access into the venue it is unknown the extent of drugs and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

Mr Simon Cordell would like it noted that A/Insp Hamill states; "You say that no officers were allowed in the building yet police officer A/PS Charles Miles 724YE says people allowed him to enter but you have not been told nothing about this, in your reports from the police officers."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; A call from CAD 2410 of the 8th June received at 05:35hrs stated that drug's were openly being sold."
"Mr Cordell will state: that he was not at the occupied building at this point of time, neither does he sell drugs or advise or in courage any other person to do so"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "At 03:10hrs on the 8th June PS 92YE noticed a male from the roof of the adjoining building to the venue. The venue backs onto that of the police parade site which did mean as officers entre and left the premises they had a full and unobstructed view of the rear of the rave premises, officers have attended the venue, however the male had already come down of the roof. Staff where given advice as to ensuring that people do not get onto the roof again."

"I had no involvement in organising this said event on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and do not feel I should be held responsible and was not attending to rave at a private house party."

Mr Simon Cordell would like it noted that; "As noted the police arrived at 03:10hrs to deal with the matter of a person on a near by roof of the occupied building located in progress way, however the male had already come down from the roof.

Police state; "Staff occupying another building was notified.

"At no point would Mr Cordell have been notified as he was not the organiser on the 8th June 2014."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "At 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June 2014 police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of wood Grange Avenue were the male had injuries of suspected broken wrist and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

"If checked there is a time laps in the statements made by police PS 92YE it states that he attended at 03:10hrs and noted the boy had come down from a roof in Wood Grange Avenue the rear of Progress Way and then Police spoke to staff at progress way.

But CAD number 2290 8th June at 05:04 states the same boy is in wood Grange Avenue again and made a 999 call making a claim of assault 01:54 mins after and is believed to be the man fallen of the roof at 03:10 who was seen getting down safely and police state that they can see the roof top clearly from there police service centre.

It is also noted A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "statement at approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

These times do not match up as in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement he says he sent someone to the attack at 05:04 CAD 2290 and in A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE statement he said the call did not happen until 06:30Hrs.

Was it 5 or 6 hundred hours or at 1 hundred hours and if it was at 100 hours why did police leave him to go and speak to people at the gate of progress way, if his injuries were so server he had to go to the hospital at 5 Or 6 hundred hours?

Mr Simon Cordell would states; that he believes the police already have on there systems, the person's name they were in contact with leading up to this. The public Order Unit at Scotland Yard would hold the information and also the police in Essex would have information.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; It has taken him months to gather information to the dates in this ASBO application, and he feels that the police already hold the information that he is being accused off.

Statement off: Eric Baker

Police Officer 219382

Dated 19/08/2014

He is a police officer in London Borough of Enfield and has been tasked to contact residents of the Borough who had called police to inform them of an illegal rave that took place over Friday 7th June 2014 and Saturday 8th June 2014, in a warehouse in Progress Way Enfield

On Tuesday 19th August 2014 I contacted the caller of the CAD 10471/07June 2014 by telephone that was happy to give an impact statement regarding how illegal rave affected her and her husband over the above dates mentioned.

The caller wishes to remain anonymous. I will refer to her as complainant "A" The original notes taken from the below statement are present in my pocket book serial 370/14, page 1.

Complainant "a" said it was a warm evening and we had to keep the windows shut because of the noise. The next day we could not even go out into the garden because of the noise. It kept me and my husband up all night, and made us very anxious the next day. The illegal rave totally ruined our weakened" This concluded what complainant 'A' said regarding this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour and he did not organize or hire any equipment to this private house party neither was he attending a rave on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014th.

Doglas Skinner: