

(iii) The order of DJ Newman at Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court on 5 November 2014 to make the interim ASBO.

3. The Claimant has not provided a statement of facts and grounds so as to particularise his claim. It is intimated in the N461 Form that a Skeleton Argument is to follow but, at the time of filing these Summary Grounds, the Defendant is not in possession of such a document or any supporting evidence. Apart from reference to the articles of the European Convention on Human Rights that are said to have been breached, the Defendant is unclear as to the basis on which the Claimant alleges these decisions were unlawful.
4. The claim is resisted on two grounds and it is respectfully submitted that permission should be refused as the claim is unarguable and/or an abuse of the court's process as:
 - (i) The Defendant is not the proper defendant to this claim and the proper process to state a case has not been followed; and
 - (ii) Further or in the alternative, the Claim is insufficiently particularised to permit the Defendant to respond to it in substance.
5. For the avoidance of doubt, and if it becomes necessary to do so, the Defendant will robustly defend the actions of his officers, agents and employees as lawful. But for the purposes of these Summary Grounds, it is submitted that the claim is unarguable on the grounds set out above.
6. These proceedings were filed on 3 May 2017 and issued by the Court on 8 May 2017. The claim was served on the Defendant by the Claimant's mother by email on 12 May 2017.

THE WRONG DEFENDANT / PROCESS

7. The Claimant does not seek to challenge any decision made by the Defendant or any of his officers, agents or employees. This claim for judicial review as made against the Defendant is, therefore, wholly misconceived.
8. The Claimant seeks to challenge the decisions of Highbury Corner Magistrates' Court and the Crown Court at Wood Green.