From: Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com> Sent time: 20/09/2016 01:36:24 AM To:  $re\_wired@ymail.com; lorraine 32@blueyonder.co.uk; michael and rewcarroll 913@gmail.com$ Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell v. Commissioner for Police Metropolis - diclosure hearing on 21st September 2016 Attachments: HHJ PAWLAK LETTER 19.09.2016.docx Simon / Lorraine / Michael Please see forwarded a copy of a letter that was sent to the Wood Green Crown Court. Josephine ----- Original Message -----From: Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com> To: woodgreencrowncourt@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk, Sally.Gilchrist@met.pnn.police.uk Date: 20 September 2016 at 01:34 Subject: Simon Cordell v. Commissioner for Police Metropolis - diclosure hearing on 21st September 2016 Dear Sir or Madam We refer to the above matter and attach a letter for the urgent attention of HHJ Pawlak. In short our letter notifies the court that we can no longer represent Mr Cordell in respect of the proceedings and have applied to come off record. Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

	FAO	HHJ	PAWL	.Ak
--	-----	-----	------	-----

Wood Green Crown Court

By email:

Your Honour

It is with much regret and sadness that we must make an application to be taken off the record in respect of the Appeal listed for 26<sup>th</sup> September 2016. We can no longer represent Mr Cordell as there has been an irretrievable breakdown on Solicitor / Client relationship and Counsel / Lay Client relationship.

Mr Cordell attended court on Friday for a mention hearing that was scheduled to deal with issues concerning the Schedule that should have been served on 1<sup>st</sup> September 2016. Mr Cordell is now in possession of this document. He further requested disclosure of all unredacted CAD's and any CAD referred to in the Progress Way incident alleged to have commenced on 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014.

Prior to the mention hearing and immediately after the hearing Mr Andrew Locke attempted to discuss the Appeal with Mr Cordell and he was unable to make any progress due to the behaviour of the Appellant. Mr Locke also reported back that the Appellant accused Ms Ward of being a liar and not following his instructions. It is very clear that there has been an irretrievable breakdown in the Solicitor /Client relationship.

Counsel, Mr Locke has also indicated that he will not represent the Appellant. The Appellant refused to engage with Mr Locke, to provide instructions, accept advice etc. He continually shouted over Mr Locke and this has been an ongoing issue with instructing Solicitors also. The Appellant believes that he is the person best equipped to deal with his Appeal and ought to be allowed to represent himself as he indicated to HHJ Lucas QC on Friday 16<sup>th</sup> September 2016.

For the reasons set out above we request that Michael Carroll & Co be taken off the record in this case and Mr Cordell be allowed to represent himself.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.