

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>  
**Sent time:** 13/02/2016 07:12:43 PM  
**To:** Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016  
**Attachments:** Page-283-Grid-Map.pdf 09-08-14-03.png

---

**Simon please look at this email and attachments and see if it ok to send Josey please as i am not sending it without your say so.**

Hi Josey

I know it is the weekend and I am not asking you to reply to this over the weekend but if you could please do this on Monday I would be grateful.

I am very worried and know that things will not be ready for the 22/02/2016 when this 3 day trial is due to start.

You don't have a barrister yet and from what you have said all the barristers you asked have said no they will not deal with this case as it is too large, and I am very worried as any barrister will not have time to go over all the paper work if they agreed to take this on.

I don't know what will happen in a case if you can't get a barrister as this has never happened. And I don't want a barrister turning up that doesn't know anything about this case nor does Simon.

I am not sure what is going on with the public order unit information I know you said you can deal with this when you got the refusal from the section 35 DPA and FOI Act you put in from Val Tanner. Also I have large issues with how she did the refusal due to the rules that are set when a DPA request or a FOI request is put in. It has to be sent to the data protection officer to deal with it, Val Tanner should not have just been able to refuse this. But as far as I am aware nothing has been done can you please explain what your plans are for this matter please.

There has been no list given to the court for witnesses that will need to be called and this is worrying me a great deal as they can just say sorry your witness list was not in time it should have been in for the 8th Feb 2016.

We were meant to be asking for all the missing CAD's and for them to unblacken the CAD's grid ref out for all grid ref this would be

ATT - Map grid Ref:  
INC - Map Grid Ref:  
CALL LOC - Map Grid Ref:

The reason for this is please see attached PDF and you will see why.

Also I can not see why nearly full pages are blacked out, I can not understand a need for this as far as I am aware the only thing that should be needed to be blacked out is the information as to the caller. Why would there be a need to blacken out full pages.

Also on page 39 statement of PC Jason Ames there is a next CAD that is missing CAD 9717 09/08/2014 that related to the intelligence received to the illegal rave on Millmarsh Lane the information in that CAD must have given the information to the address as PC Jason Ames and Aaron King went right to the address, this is Every Decibel Matters. Please also see Aaron King statement page 41. I believe the information came from the public order unit for Every Decibel Matters. Due to this post which I have attached to this email.

I know you had all the information that was meant to be asked for re the CAD's. And I am not sure if anything has been done about this. Or what the plan of action will be for this is.

I know you have sent the email now to Superintendent Coombs for him to do a statement, we don't know if this will come back in time, I know when I spoke to him he said he was willing to do a statement but that was a long time ago.

This case has made Simon so ill they have the information on the police Systems Josey they know this was not Simon already. Are they allowed to withhold information they have got that they know will prove someone has not done what they say to get a case proved or guilty?

Josey this needs to go back to court ASAP as I do not want the judge blaming Simon that things have not been done and it is not ready. The judge needs to understand the information we are after and why.

Regards

Lorraine