

**STATEMENT OF WITNESS**

**(C.J. Act 1967, S2,9.M.C-Rules 1968 R58)**

**STATEMENT OF: Simon Cordell**

**AGE OF WITNESS (if over 21): 35**

**OCCUPATION OF WITNESS: Unemployed**

**ADDRESS: 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, Middlesex, EN3 7JQ**

**TELEPHONE:**

**This statement is an amendment further to my statement dated 22/12/2015. Consisting of 00 page(s) each signed by myself, and is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.**

**Dated the 12 day of March 2016**

**Signed .....**

**Signature Witnessed by .....**

This is an updated statement further to the statement of Mr Simon Cordell Dated 22/12/2015.

**In reference to the 12<sup>th</sup> January 2013 Canary Wharf**

This date in question has been added to the applicants bundle as a reference as to the Limitation Act 1980. Which states a case must be applied six months prior from the date of the incident. Please take note to Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02/2015; he was in fact taken to The Royal London Hospital.

**In reference to the 07<sup>th</sup> April 2013, within Steven Elsmore statement dated 11/08/2014.**

In regards to 07/04/2013 Please read my last statement dated the 24/02/2015, I state that I did not attended any premises on this date to rave, I was not involved in the organization of any illegal rave this was my friends housing estate I was only there to pick my friends up as we had planned to go out on our off road bikes for the day to have some fun, it was on a Sunday. I did not supply equipment on this date, nor did I act in an Anti Social manner.

I was not rude to police, but he did feel like I could not even go out for the day with some of my friends, without getting stopped and searched by members of the police.

It is also noted that the caller that called police was very clear that they saw a flat screen TV being put into my van, which is confusing to why when the police searched the van they found no flat screen TV, but did in fact find two off road motor bikes, which is not included in Steve Elsmore statement. The police did checks on my off road motor bikes but this is also not stated, but should show's up on the seizer notice, as I did asked the police officers to take careful note of the two off road motor bikes, due to the high value of them.

I did get a bit upset when the police said they were going to seize my van, as I did have insurance in place to be able to drive the van in question, but there was an error on the MID database. My mother Miss L Cordell had been trying to help resolve the issue concerning my insurance policy not showing on the MID database, the police had also tried to find out why my insurance was not showing up on the MID database, along with my insurance company KGM, together they had tried to work out why I was showing as uninsured. There was information noted as intelligence on the police National Computer stating this, I asked the police to check on there systems that day due to this, but they would not they just wanted to seize my van without checking, so I knew I was being wrongfully accused again due to the error on the MID database at this point, as I had done nothing wrong and I did have insurance to be driving and had shown the police my insurance documents to check them as I always carried them around due to the error on the MID Database, I had paid a lot of money for my insurance. I at no time got upset in the manner that the police have said I did, I did not mean to come across as rude to police. I was only trying to explain the error on the MID database system and ask the police to check there systems as it was recorded about the error on there.

Signed .....

Witnessed By .....