

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.  
Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.  
On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.  
Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

**DJ**

Were did you get such info officer.

**Witness**

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

**COUNSEL**

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

**DJ**

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

**R V CORDELL**

**5**

**Counsel**

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

**(Confesses he did it.)**

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn’t /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

**(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)**

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell’s evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what’s written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

**DJ**

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

**Counsel**

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

**Officer**

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell’s convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

**Officer**

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

**Met police**

**XEX OF Witness vii**

**Done oath seductions:**

Nothing in the contents of this report is inaccurate to my knowledge.

**DEF**

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should be able to give evidence tomorrow.

**Witness viii**

**Miss Cordell ATT – 16:05 – EIC**

D (her son) lives separately from me but I have been trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both his PNC and other police matters.

Police is still popping around to his house - Simon tells me and also I physically get to his flat before police have left.

He is being harassed by police.

**DJ**

Are 6 officers not reliant – on witness statement - there for putting a line though RD?