

- Cad 2291 07th June 14 (Is Missing Requested by Mr Simon Cordell) are no were to be found apart from on (**page 174**)

Crown Road == There was no Licensable events or private parties on the 1<sup>st</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> apart from Crown Road council freedom of information act to be provided, from local council. (**Exhibit**)

- (**Cad 3151 8th June 14 page 278**) clearly states that the rave / private party was at crown road not progress way and that members of the public were using Southbury train station, to get to this location, which is across the road, grid reference; x (**Easting**) 534960 Y (**Northing**) 196240 and that under oath to the Dj A/Insp concealed the truth true facts of their fabricated and manufacture while engineered evidence, that they support contained within the applicants bundle. Please read court train scrip off A Inspector Hamill below; (This also proofs that all the cads are linked together and corrupt)

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. (**Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.**)

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (**Progress Way**)

- **Cad 3319 8th June 14 page 283**

Southbury train STN /Crown RD (**cad 11822 8th June 14 page 302**)

Southbury STN cad 2410 8th Jun 14 page 276. Also blocked out so no person can see, apart from the makers of the bundle themselves, when creating their application towards Mr Simon Cordell, What evidence there is to support this claim is the mistake of A and J cars Enfield not being blocked out, as listed above in this document. As the same as many of the other cad numbers relating to this ASBO case, to which if it was not for this error A and J cars, being not blocked out like the rest of cad 2410 8<sup>th</sup> Jun 14, Mr Simon Cordell would never of been able to prove this part of his innocents in the ASBO case being put towards him self.

- **CAD 943 7th June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2649 1st June 2014 == MISSING** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date, neither was he being accused of in police statements off involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2989 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of any involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 3274 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**