

From: Ronak Ahmed <ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk>
Sent: 18 December 2019 16:36
To: Lorraine Cordell; Sean Shanmuganathan
Subject: Re: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222
Attachments: image001.jpg

Dear Ms Cordell

You will need to call the office and ask them. I am not sure what difference that makes. The most important issue is that the case is stil live.

Kind regards

Mr. Ronak Ahmed LL.B, LL.M

Solicitor (Consultant)

Tel +44 (0) 20 8889 3319

Email ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk

1 St Michaels Terrace

London

N22 7SJ

DX 34704 WOOD GREEN 2

tel +44 (0)20 8889 3319

fax +44 (0)20 8881 6089

www.tyrerroxburgh.co.uk

Authorised by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors LLP is a limited liability partnership under the no.560748. A list of the members' names is open to inspection at the registered office.

VAT REG No: 221 8088 78 SRA No: 560748

Contracted with the Legal Aid Agency

We do not accept service of documents or other process by e-mail

Email us at lawmakers@tyrroxburgh.co.uk

This message may contain privileged information, and is only intended to be received by the person to whom it is addressed.

If you are not the intended recipient please contact us as soon as possible.

Partners - Mukesh Badhan - D Shanmuganathan - Vasoulla Constantinou

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 4:31:40 PM

To: Ronak Ahmed <ronak@tyrroxburgh.co.uk>; Sean Shanmuganathan <sean@tyrroxburgh.co.uk>

Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOED222

Dear Ronak Ahmed

May I ask on what date your office got the letter dated the 04th December 2019 from the Claimants, was it sent via post or email?

Did your office have the letter before the court made the order or after? As we knew nothing about this letter until the 11th December 2019, when Sean made a call to me, and sent the court order via my email which he got in the office on the 11th December 2019 from the court.

You state in your reply email below you confirm receipt of the letter dated 4th December 2019 from the Claimants, but have not confirmed what date you received it.

It does seem it was delivered to the court very fast, the letter is dated the 04th December 2019, the court got the letter on the 05th December 2019, and the court made there ruling on the 06th December 2019.

I await your reply.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Ronak Ahmed [mailto:ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk]
Sent: 18 December 2019 15:24
To: Jill Bayley; Lorraine Cordell; Sean Shanmuganathan
Cc: Kulwinder Johal
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222

Good afternoon

It is correct to say that we no longer are on record as acting for the Defendant as Legal Aid has been terminated. However, we can confirm receipt of the letter dated 4 December 2019 from the Claimants to the Court requesting the December hearing be vacated. It does appear that the most recent sealed court order was incorrect when it referred to a "consent order" when it should have referred to the draft order that was attached. No such consent order was agreed given the specific and direct instructions from the Defendant, Mr Cordell. It appears that the court made an order in accordance with the **draft order** provided by the claimant.

It is also important to note that the Claim is not struck out and the Claimant can apply for it to be reinstated. Therefore the Claim is live but with no hearing date listed so if there are any further allegations then the case is likely to be restored.

If Mr Cordell is unhappy about the Court making any such order he can apply using Form N244 to set it aside.

I am not proposing to engage in further debate on this matter given we are not getting paid for our continued involvement.

Kind regards

Mr. Ronak Ahmed LL.B, LL.M

Solicitor (Consultant)

Direct Dial 07515 121781

Office 0208 889 3319

Email ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk

-

From: Jill Bayley [mailto:Jill.Bayley@enfield.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 December 2019 15:41
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>; Ronak Ahmed <ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk>; Sean Shanmuganathan <sean@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk>
Cc: Kulwinder Johal <Kulwinder.Johal@enfield.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222

Dear Madam,

Further to your emails, please find attached the letter sent to the court by Ms Johal as you request. This letter was sent to Mr Cordell's solicitors but they have since stopped acting for him.

Yours faithfully

Jill Bayley

Jill Bayley

Principal Lawyer, Safeguarding and Corporate Teams
Legal Services, Enfield Council
Silver Street
Enfield EN1 3XY

Please note my new telephone number 020 8132 1221

Telephone: 020 8132 1221
Fax: 020 8379 6492
Mobile: 07930 858193

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities.

Legal advice in this e-mail is given on behalf of the Director of Law and Governance; it is subject to legal professional privilege and should not be disclosed without authorisation.

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent: 12 December 2019 13:44
To: Jill Bayley <Jill.Bayley@enfield.gov.uk>; 'Ronak Ahmed' <ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk>; 'Sean Shanmuganathan' <sean@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk>
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222
Importance: High

Dear Jill Bayley

I have been given your email regarding an issue I have, I believe you are the manager of Kulwinder Johal, Kulwinder Johal is dealing with a case for Enfield Council which relates to my son Mr Simon Cordell.

It would seem a letter which was deemed by the court and Judge as a consent order was sent to the court by Kulwinder Johal. I have requested that the letter be sent to me via this email as we have never seen it.

I do have Authority to address things for my son Simon Cordell, but it would seem I am being ignored, which my request to be sent the letter that was sent to the court by Kulwinder Johal.

Please see below a list of emails sent which there has only been one reply, with all the information for the case.

Could you please address this matter as a matter of urgency?

I look forward to your reply to this matter.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 12 December 2019 11:44
To: 'Kulwinder Johal'; 'Ronak Ahmed'; 'Sean Shanmuganathan'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222
Importance: High

Dear Kulwinder Johal

I know you have read the below email so cannot understand why you have not replied and sent over the letter sent to the court which they received on the 05/12/2019 and deemed it to be a consent order.

I have just made a call to Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors who are no longer dealing with this case as legal aid was withdrawn which you will be aware of.

Mr Ahmed is on leave so I have asked Sean Shanmuganathan to call me back, as he is the one who called me last night to explain regarding the court order which was received in there office on the 11/12/2019 which he forwarded me the court order over via my email last night.

I do not understand why you are not sending me the letter, which was sent to the court for this court order to be made up.

Could you please forward me the letter sent to the court. You did ask me on the phone call we had this morning you would need an Authority letter which you have had so there is no reason the letter can not be sent to me, as you have had what you asked for.

In addition, can you please forward it to Sean Shanmuganathan sean@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk as he does know about my son's case and the letter can then be added to there file, as Mr Ahmed is on leave, he will not be able to deal with this until his return. I have CC in both Mr Ahmed and Sean Shanmuganathan all the emails.

Could this matter please be addressed as a matter of urgency?

Regards

Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 12 December 2019 10:10
To: 'Kulwinder Johal'; 'Ronak Ahmed'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222 Authority

Dear Kulwinder Johal

As you will all ready be aware Legal Aid funding has been withdrawn from this case, as you stated in your in your letter dated the 21/10/2019 to Mr Ahmed, you had been instructed to discontinue the claim from your clients Enfield Council, so Mr Ahmed is no longer dealing with this matter.

You have had the letter of Authority, which you asked for on the phone today and received this, which has been confirmed in your below email. However, there has always been Authority on Enfield Councils systems for me to address matters for my son, Mr Simon Cordell.

I would like to see the letter that that has been sent to the court which the court received on the 05/12/2019, which the court has deemed as a consent order for claim number FOOED222. No consent order has been agreed or signed, so I cannot understand how Edmonton Country Court, more so a judge has deemed you letter as a consent order, which is stated in the court order dated 06/12/2019.

Also there is a large issue your client Enfield Council instructed you for this claim to be discontinue, I will state the court order is far

from being discontinued as you have it would seem instructed the court for it to be adjourned generally with liberty to restore this is far from what your clients asked to be done on this case.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell

From: Kulwinder Johal [<mailto:Kulwinder.Johal@enfield.gov.uk>]
Sent: 12 December 2019 09:41
To: Lorraine Cordell; ronak@tyrerroxburgh.co.uk
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOED222 Authority

Dear Ms Cordell and Mr Ahmed,

Further to Ms Cordell's emails this morning (see below) I am writing to refer the matter to Mr Ahmed, who will advise you. I have included Mr Ahmed into this email for completeness.

Mr Ahmed – I trust that you will advise Ms Cordell.

Yours sincerely,

Kulwinder Johal
Litigation Lawyer | Corporate Team | Legal Services
On behalf of the Director of Law and Governance
PO Box 50, Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield EN1 3XA

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities.

Legal advice in this email is given on behalf of the Assistant Director of Legal Services; it is subject to legal professional privilege and should not be disclosed without expressed prior authorisation.

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent: 12 December 2019 09:12
To: Kulwinder Johal <Kulwinder.Johal@enfield.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOED222 Authority
Importance: High

Dear Kulwinder Johal

Please see attached letter of Authority, Could you please send me over the letters, which have been sent to the court.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 11 December 2019 23:41
To: 'Kulwinder.Jahal@enfield.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222

Dear Kulwinder Johal

I am writing this email with regard to a telephone call that I received tonight from my son Mr Simon Cordell solicitor, Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors.

I was forwarded a court order dated the 09/12/2019 from Edmonton Country Court, heard on the 06/12/2019.

It would seem that Edmonton Country Court received a consent order at the court on the 5th December 2019, and Deputy District Judge Brown made a court order on the 06/12/2019.

Can you please forward this consent order over via this email ASAP as we have never seen it yet alone agreed to it or signed it?

As you will be aware, no consent order has been agreed upon or signed, so how has the court been sent a consent order by you?

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

[Follow us on Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) www.enfield.gov.uk

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).



[Follow us on Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) www.enfield.gov.uk

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.