

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference
Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the partys were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the partys were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that where taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.