

application. There was no reply to this from you until the 27/01/2014 where you told us the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.

You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I new a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third Party Disclosure application through the court.

And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.

But you new this was needed when the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2014 when the application was heard for the section 8 and Third Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on 27/01/2014, when this then had already passed the date of the 16/01/2014 to hand the application into the court?

I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.

On the 29/01/2013 a reply was made by email from my mum. Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the 27/01/2014 for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended.

She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.

You replied to this email on the 29/01/2014 saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.

I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink there case on me. As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway. And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

Also on the 19/01/2013 when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming. For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for 23/12/2013 to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there. I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the 24/12/2013 this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.

I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back. I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the 24/12/2013.

On the 24/12/2013 I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there we were told the case was not listed before 11am. So we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting, there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.

I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this before hand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.

About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.

It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got of the phone she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.

I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on. My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward she could hear what my mum was saying.

My mum explained to the judge about the 19/12/2013 and the 23/12.2013 the judge was at at lost of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the 23/01/2014 she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that.

The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence she could hear it on them grounds.

The judge at that replied yes she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the