

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

**Sent:** 07 May 2013 17:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case  
Lorraine

There are many ways to skin a cat. Having thought about Simon's other case it may be better to wait until the hearing as we may be able to make representation to the prosecutor on the day in question that Simon did have a valid insurance policy and the data base could not be accessed. There were no warning markers re the van. The whole reason for the stop of the vehicle had to be ascertained as why was he stopped in the first place, if not for no insurance then for what? I can refer them to the case re possession of the bladed article. Basically I will argue that but for his van being seized for no insurance for which he was not guilty and could have brought his insurance to the station on a producer then the public order offence would not have been committed.

We will play it by ear I think.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 2:34 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

I think it was due to your email and the wording lolol ☺

Lorraine

---

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 May 2013 14:32

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Hi Lorraine

At least progress is now being made. Keep me posted and if you need any further help then please do not hesitate to contact me on email / mobile.

Kind regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 11:48 AM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey