

R V CORDELL

7

"Yes" POs mistaken.

Page 38 – Tab 13 – Detective Skinner 2 events**Page 75 – Tab 24**

D denies knowing people alleged to have worked for him on the night – either Pc or person mentioned in statement is wrong. Reason why you're found in these raves is because you help organise them.

Page 141

Vehicle was owned by me but was sold and now brought back.

Statement Page 3**Page 104**

I was not with Holly Field on that day.

Page 99

Accept I was there in the van inside the unit.

The report is wrong; I had 2 boxes in the van – No speakers – I was not in the premises.

Did not help organise Rave and sound equipment was not mine.

I have tried to hire equipment but organisation of event – Birthday party nothing to do with me.

Is Pc Chandlers report wrong as well? "Yes"

9 / 10 – August 2014**Bottom Page 7 (Statement)**

Accept I attended venue – for Birthday dinner – I was invited

200 People turning up had nothing to do with me.

With social networking it is easy for some one to have 200 friends.

I had cylinders in my vehicle, requires legal authorisations, I have them on my car, for welding - I do welding continuously. I do it as a hobby.

I was not at the location for a large rave.

I do remember many people turning up.

I remember police being in attendance.

I would never shout @ crowd – to busy talking to the police.

Pc statements are wrong.

There's a possibility that I did say to police that it was a private conference.

DJ

Do you no that 20 people is the maximum – Yes

Def XEX

Was Pc Edgoose out of car? – I know two of them come out of car and approached me.

24th May Incident - Do you remember speaking with Pc Jackson? Do not remember names.

Paragraph of T and C'S Re Falcon Park (Statement)

Deposit does go back unless damage or loss stopping due to breach of agreement.

Amount = No Fee

NFO

DEF

Additional witness not here, statement can be read but less weight because witness not here.

Witness 2 can be here in 1 half hours

Half evidence

R V CORDELL

8

13:30pm

DEF

N.F Witness.

Case closing subs.**Statutory test key:**

- 1) Whether D has acted in an Anti Social Manner: Alarm / Distress.
- 2) Astonishing of council to make that whole 11 officers were wrong.
- 3) D's evidence is also not meritable and neither his witness statements.
- 4) D's Mothers evidence – totally irrelevant – her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.
- 5) 7th June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos .Miles witness, Cordell (D). Inspector Hamill miles points, to D being the organiser.
- 6) Disruption and concern Rave caused that is outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.
- 7) 19th July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong,
- 8) Crimit's reports show D as organiser, of large raves according to officer's statements.
- 9) Test mode out of submissions above.
- 10) Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1).Test of Public Nuisance? Does not (text missing) delaminates? Of fact, but from Cad's Re: alarm distress etc. Shows this has happened.

The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control. – Disorder due to shutting events down.