

be reviving a letter to sign to be sent to Sally Gill Hurst. On receiving this letter it raised the following concerns. 1. The paperwork has not been served in time. 2. In a preliminary hearing, so to be ready for the appeal the judge ordered this to be achieved. 3. The respondent has had another 6 months sine 22/02/2016 from the start of the on goings as dated 13/08/2014 4. We are now at 08/09/2016 the appeal is on the 26/09/2016 this leads me to the concerns of once again the case being postponed, as it has already been ten times before. 5. I have handed to article Six the right to a speedy and fair trial in regard to some of my human rights being breached because of the on goings in the ASBO proceedings drafting clear corruption and fabricated evidence asking for the case to be investigated our the correct paperwork to be served in accordance to my response to HHJ PAWLAK.

6. Yes, my instructions are clear, I did not organised any illegal raves or provide any equipment with an intention of holding an illegal rave and surely did not cause any Anti Social behavior on the dates sighted, this is also to include Mill Marsh Lane with no exception. 7. Yes in some I am visiting my friends who are or were homeless at the time. 8. The legal technicality you state that I refer to i.e. absence of trespass that does not prevent parties from being held in accordance of the law, may lead to a stand alone anti social behavior order if a person commits a public order offence, to which I did not cause as I was not organizer neither did I take part in the organisation of the party or did I commit any civil or criminal offence. 9. In any one un-regular occasion over the duration of the weekend I can a understand the noise nuisance and distress to neighbors this can cause if the allegations were to be true and not fabricated by police as I can prove. I was not the organizer of the event. The case is based on what the respondent based it upon and in my case this is the organisation of illegal raves not the organisation of raves:- 1. I proved that indoor parties are not illegal unless there is a breach of the licensing act 2003 as this is the law for entertainment. 2. That the word rave can not be used in a building as section 63 requires as a key element unless tress pass has taken place. 3. I proved that I was not the organizer of the events as I was not. 4. That I never took part in any anti social behavior or intended or encouraged any other person to neither. 5. Anti social behavior was not clearly caused as a result of the Progress Way by myself or my actions as I was only a visitor who never caused any offence. I feel as my solicitor you should have my best interest at heart and if you Know a police officer to be caught for being corrupt for, the evidence that they have supported so that your client faced a wrongful conviction of any sort you should not encourage them to not stand up for what is correct and right, so I do not understand why you would ask me to reconsider whether the attached document should be served on the Respondent. The amendments I made have all ready been served on the 22/02/2016 and the Judge ask for the respondent to answer them questions from the 01/02/2016 and the respondent refuse to do so. I do insist for the challenges to be answered as it is my life that has been tarnished for civil proceedings so I do confirm this on writing. I feel that the meeting has been left by yourself to the last minute I have been requesting this in a multitude of emails to be achieved well in advance to the date that you have now sited a few days before the appeal, when I know that you have had ample amounts of time, so if this is the earliest time I will take it and I look forward to meeting Mr Andy Locke, thank you. I do not see how the case will not get re listed due to lack of disclosure to be quite frank. I do not