

had time to go over the documents and information.

Regarding PC G notebook is my son's name at the top and has it been checked if a radio check was done on my son on that day, I find it hard to believe that my son's name is not in PC G notebook as and my son refused to give his name and that is why he was arrested, how would he have had my son's details to talk to the insurance companies which there is no dispute that he did and he would have needed my son's details to be able to give them to the insurance companies, which would mean he unlawfully arrested my son.

I know you said you would have no problem giving us the notebook and his statement and other information, does this mean we can not get this information until after the investigation is completed?

What will happen if PC G does not want to assist in this investigation, how long will you give him to reply to your letter before you decide he is not willing to assist in the investigation?

I know this time we will have the right to appeal to the IPCC, if we are not happy, but I do believe there is enough information and I can not see why the CPS would not want to take this further, the only reason I can see them not wanting to take this on is due to PC G is now Head of Criminal Justice, Centre for Social Justice.

How long do you think it will take you to complete the investigation?

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk
[<mailto:Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk>]

Sent: 31 July 2017 14:14

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Our meeting today.

Good Afternoon Lorraine,

I hope this email finds you well.

Further to our meeting last month I have written to PC G to give him the opportunity to participate in the re-investigation, I await his reply. When and if he replies I shall of course let you know.

Regarding a CPS referral, having reviewed the evidence I am currently of the view that such a referral should be left to the determination of our Appropriate Authority (A.A. - senior officer who reviews my report) upon the conclusion of the investigation. As it stands, I see nothing to prohibit such a referral, should the A.A. think it appropriate.

As you know, should the A.A. elect not to refer the