

- On the majority of cads / incident numbers, including (cad 2410 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 Page number 273) the;

Call Tel, Call Name, Att Location, Map, Inc Locn, Call Location are Blocked out. Preventing Mr Simon Cordell from being able to prove, that of the nature of the members of police, who are involved within the development of the ASBO application, too be lying about the true facts of the locations, stating that police was sure that all location blocked out were in relation to progress way. Please take note to a snip lit, of the court transcripts, in respect, of the ongoing ASBO proceedings at the magistrate's court.

Statements of officers, who also admitted that the intelligence contained within the bundle has been copied from the pnc also that of the officers who reported the intelligence not being present at court.

SNIPPLIT DATED 00/00/2015

(Exhibit of SNIPLIT relating to transcripts)

Supporting evidence of Police questioned under oath in relation to applicants ASBO on going application;  
R v Cordell

**Def**

Mother of D in court + potentially giving evidence.

**Met**

Police – No objections.

Probably the case will go over till tomorrow.

6 Witness of facts police.

1 Officer in case.

To be 6 – 22; Case statements.

**Def**

Just gave info, possession of new info on face book, not in bundles before court, but should be. Shows info suggesting never organised but other people did, nothing to do with w/d.

**DJ**

Interim ASBO made case by been well (unreadable text)

**DEF**

This evidence shows that Rave on 6/6/? Was nothing to do with w/d.

Miss Cordell mother has carried out her own investigations as she was not happy with results of investigating officer / so/s.

It is a large bundle to get through this late.

If material can be viewed by DJ

(Possible metered.) Then DJ can decide on admissibility of the evidence.

**DJ**

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

**Met Police 1st State**

**DJ**

Has made application for ASBO ORDER.

Inspector Hamill is to lead.

**Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am**

**Statement contained in tab 9-lead**

**DEF XEX**

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

**(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)**

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

**Met Police RE-XE**

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser.

Referring to page number 184 Info re: caller reporting incident. **(Please take Note here in regards to the applicant's Skeleton bundle.)**