

commenced on 6<sup>th</sup> June 2014 and not 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The Appellant will state that the Respondent has wrongly specified that this event started on 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The statements on PC Donald Mc Millian dated 19<sup>th</sup> August 2014 confirms the date the event started.

The Appellant will state that he did not provide any sound recording equipment, speakers, generators etc to this event. The Appellant will state that both him and his brother Tyrone Benjamin have been wrongly accused of organising this event. The Appellant will state that his brother Tyrone Benjamin was incapacitated due to a major traffic accident that resulted in both his legs being broken and also his pelvis. He was immobile. The Appellant relies on the account he gave in his initial statement dated 24<sup>th</sup> February 2015.

- (i) The Appellant disputes that he was inside the premises. The Appellant will state that he was not the male identified by security at the gate. The Appellant takes issue with the evidence of Inspector Hamill and APS Miles. The Appellant will state that he was approaching the premises to drop off keys to a friend. The Appellant will state that he had left his cousin's leaving party, Dwayne Edward's to do this. The Appellant was approached by police and Environmental officers who tried to serve an noise abatement notice. The Appellant refused to accept the notice and he did not engage in any conversation with the police. The Appellant was not asked whether he had organised the party, had he been asked this then the Appellant would have denied this.
- (ii) The Appellant disputes that admitted to Inspector Skinner that he organised the vent on 7<sup>th</sup> / 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014.
- (iii) The Appellant disputes that he admitted to Inspector Skinner that he organised the rave that was stopped by police on 19<sup>th</sup> July 2014. The Appellant will state that he never entered the premises. The Appellant will state that he never provided any equipments or generators etc to any persons inside the premises. The Appellant will state that none of his vehicles were inside these premises. CAD 10635 19THJULY2014 (R 303-313). The Appellant will state that he is mixed race and not white and therefore he could not have been one of the males inside the premises. The Appellant will also state that CAD980419JUL14 entry 22.12:53 police did not see any (PG 301 R bundle) audio equipment inside the building.
- (iv) The Appellant accepts that he had a conversation with PC Edgoose concerning his efforts to establish a mini festival or the community within the Enfield Borough. He accepts that he discussed equipment. He totally disputes any conversations about Occupy London, Black Block, anarchist groups of Nottinghill carnival. The Appellant disputes that he was driving in the manner alleged and believes that had he been driving like this then he would have been arrested.