

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent time: 24/02/2016 06:09:29 PM
To: Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>; Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Subject: RE: Re: R v Simon Cordell Appeal Letter

Dear Josey

You have not attached any paperwork so we can not see what has been said by the judge or the barrister that was there can this be forwarded please.

Josey at court the judge would not hear what the barrister for Simon was saying or anyone else this case went in and out of court. I asked if I could speak to the judge myself which he took nonotice off when a note was written by a person in the court and handed to the clerk to pass to the judge.

For Appeal against Conviction - Case Started - 10:19
For Appeal against Conviction - Respondent Case Opened - 10:50
For Appeal against Conviction - Case adjourned until 11:20 - 11:08
For Appeal against Conviction - Resume - 11:29
For Appeal against Conviction - Case adjourned until 11:50 - 11:41
For Appeal against Conviction - Resume - 11:43
For Appeal against Conviction - Hearing finished for SIMON PAUL CORDELL - 11:58

And there was one more time that the judge went out in fact it could have been 2.

And as even the barrister said to us the judge was not hearing what we wanted to say or he would have understood all the judge wanted to do was make this case go ahead even when Simon Barrister was saying it could not, at this point it was not due to Simon heath. it was other reasons that the barrister felt uncomfortable going ahead.

If the judge had heard what was being said and was being fair then at that point it should have been put off as the barrister had very good reason for it not to go ahead.

It was at this point i asked the lady to write a note to the judge to ask if i could speak which she did and it waspasted to the judge, and the judge took no notice of it.

Simon has had an assessment from the mental heath team on I believe 03/02/2016 as you are well aware as Simon told you himself in the office. the warrant was granted on the 25/01/2016 but they never used it until the 03/02/2016

He agreed that he would work with them and have meetings with Goody. The judge does not know any of this because he would not let me speak in court.

Also have you heard yet from Superintendent Adrian Coombs i believe from what you said to me on the phone he was meant to be getting a reply from him on Monday

Regards

Lorraine

From: Josephine Ward [mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com]
Sent: 24 February 2016 16:19
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Cc: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fwd: Re: R v SimonCordell Appeal Letter

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding across to you a letter that I have received from HHJ Pawlak, who will be adjudicating at the appeal hearing on 26th September 2016. He will also be presiding over the mention hearing on 4th April2016.

The first point that must be addressed in question 3 and this concerns your mental health Simon and your fitness to follow proceedings, instruct solicitors and consider advice. I am therefore going to apply for funding so that you can be assessed so that this area can be clarified. This is important. Your behaviour in court on Monday raised a number of concerns surrounding this point.

The second point is answering and responding to question 2. This question can only be properly addressed once we receive confirmation from aPsychiatrist that you are able to follow proceedings etc

Paragraph 4 makes it clear that the Court will not allow Michael Carroll & Co to come off the record unless the Senior Partner attends in person. We cannot advance your case or respond to question 2 until such time as the psychiatric confirms that there are no issues with your ability to follow proceedings.