

RE: SIMON CORDELL V. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE OF THE METROPOLIS
APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPOSITION OF AN ASBO – 26TH SEPTEMBER 2016 AT 10:00 AM

I write even further with concerns regarding: Your issues of concern dated 08/09/2016 that was received by email at the time: 06:00pm,

So as towards the letter drafted by yourself and amended by myself is the response as detailed below, with the listed concerns.

1. I understand that the correct protocols for the offences I am being accused of should be carried out in a manner to be of a high professional standard as required by law, so for me to be able to defend myself.
2. I am therefore not happy with the issues of police corruption not being addressed, by you self and all other legal persons, as I know I can not stand a fair trial or appeal without them issues being rectified first and this is why the amendments have been made to your letter to Sally Guill Hurst.
3. I have suffered since 2014 for conditions that have been wrongfully imposed upon myself and still awaiting an appeal.

1.1 As my acting solicitor you drafted a letter requesting the respondent to remove any CADS that have been placed in the Asbo bundle served to myself Mr Simon Cordell.

1.1.1 I understand that they cads are all ready inputted incorrectly and you and the barrister take note of this, as do , we all achieve this in the understanding that I could not have and did not commit the alleged offences that I have been accused of that are contained with a vast majority of other CADS within incorrect and blocked out context; such as the Att Locations that are un-redacted and do state Crown road and other locations such as Hardy Way, on the same day as progress way so I could not have committed as I could not be in two places at once.

1.1.2 There is a further issue in relation to a significant amount of Cads that should contain the Att Locations that have been blocked out such as referred to as retracted and them CADS that also contain the Grid reference numbers that also prove other locations already.

1.1.3 However I am even further worried about CADS such as all the listed and any in the format such as fully retracted where know person can, or is able to see the true CAD intelligence in regards to the wrongful fabricated claims being held against my person, being so reviled for all to see. 1.1.4 I do believe when all CADS do get retracted and a blocked, then that will help any barrister and put them in a better position to defend me, so for all Cads and pages in his Asbo application being served in an unedited format and so for myself to understand the truth, to why the conditions have been imposed upon myself since the Asbo's on goings. 2. I am also seriously worried about the reasons why the case has taken so long with all the evidence I have supported towards my innocent plea, such as:-

2.1. The incorrect time stamps.

2.2. The facts of the conditions of law relating to a section 63 of the crime and disorder act being imposed upon myself for indoor house parties without tress pass taking place.

2.3. The Events that I am being accused of no police officers have gone and spoken to any land lord's or owners.

2.4. There has been no evidence of a breach under the Licensing act 2003. 2.5. No proof of organisation being presented against myself.

2.6. There is also the matter being of; all incidents that are in the Asbo application with particulars to them members of the police involved, not having 101 books that are time stamped for them incidents and I once again would like to request them.

3. In relation to all cads that do have a grid number of 53491,196790 and or Att location of Crown road I request that the police officers involved in attending that incident attended court so to be able to prove that what PC Elesmore stated to the Judge at the magistrates court on the date of trial to obtain a guilty plea against my person, not to be creditable in any weight , that being of all the statements he made that are contained in a copy of the court transcripts, which do quote: When making the Asbo application and redacting any intelligence he was sure that all event on the 7th 8th June 2014 was in fact 100% progress way and that he was sure that there was no other parties / events in the borough on them dates.

I intended for my acting barrister to be able to use a copy of the magistrate's court trial transcripts on the date of the appeal.

Pleas can you reply to this letter of concern

Kind regards

Simon Cordell