

call to Miss Ward, so for himself to be able to have collected his things and he then hurried and started to walk back out of the room we all was supposed to have a meeting but on stead he hurried in towards the Court room. The Appellant tried to stop him, so to have explained to him, what his concerns were. (“As we had not yet at this point in time had a moment to talk”) and the Appellant was also concerned about the disclosure that was going to be asked for.

The Appellant asked Mr Locke if he could ask the Judge to adjourn the case for five or ten minutes, so that we all could speak with each other, which he replied “no that the hearing was only for disclosure about the schedule”, The Appellant said that:- “He knew this was not correct and this was one of the reasons that he wanted to speak with him about.” The Appellant again asked: - “if the barrister would ask the Judge to postpone for ten minutes again” he yet again said “no”, at which point the Appellant asked “why Mr Locke did not want to speak to him, and should he act for himself ”?

The Barrister Mr Locke had no time to talk to The Appellant at the time and spent around four minutes talking to Ms Ward on the phone, before ending his call, he asked the Appellant if he the Appellant was dismissing his solicitors, to which the Appellant replied:- “No”, Mr Locke then started to walk towards the Courtroom, we followed the barrister into Court and on entering the Court in a raised voice, The Appellant said to Mr Locke:- (“who was ahead of him”) so am I acting for myself then.? Mr Locke never replied to the Appellant and just proceeded to talk to the Judge and then he walked toward the courtroom door and ushered out. At this point the Appellant