

asked for by the Judge in addition to the letter that had been handed in and this was also when the Judge said it could be used as the Appellants skeleton argument and that this had happened when Miss Ward was in the Court on the date of the 04/04/2016 when she was also taking notes, so Miss Ward knew exactly what the Judge had asked for.

The Appellants mother had made a call to the Appellants solicitor and enquired as to what the Judge had asked for on the 04/04/2016 in regards to the disclosure, Ms Ward stated she could not remember, the Appellant mother being dumbfounded by this said in reply to her:- “you was sitting in the back of the Courtroom taking notes,” and continued to explain that only last week from the date in mention, will have everything that the Judge had asked for in his original disclosure, plus what was asked for in the Appellants letter, that was handed to the judge and Miss Ward also explained that the Judge had made other addictions in addition to the mentioned.

At no point did Ms Ward ever make the Appellants mother feel she did not know what was due to be disclosed, before and while still on the phone, if she had ever done this the Appellant and the Appellant mother would have asked her to relist the case to the Court and asked for this to be clarified, as the disclosure that we was asking for was very important to the ongoings of the Appeal.

The Appellant mother then handed the Appellant the phone the Appellant asked Ms Ward about the letter he was supposed to have sent to the Court and the prosecuting barrister, the Appellant was still thinking she was talking about the letter