

From: Jill Bayley <Jill.Bayley@enfield.gov.uk>
Sent: 18 December 2019 16:07
To: Ronak Ahmed; Lorraine Cordell; Sean Shanmuganathan
Cc: Kulwinder Johal
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222

Dear Mr Ahmed,

Thank you for your email, the contents of which are noted.

Yours sincerely

Jill Bayley

Jill Bayley
Principal Lawyer, Safeguarding and Corporate Teams
Legal Services, Enfield Council
Silver Street
Enfield EN1 3XY

Please note my new telephone number 020 8132 1221

Telephone: 020 8132 1221
Fax: 020 8379 6492
Mobile: 07930 858193

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities.

Legal advice in this e-mail is given on behalf of the Director of Law and Governance; it is subject to legal professional privilege and should not be disclosed without authorisation.

From: Ronak Ahmed <ronak@tyrroxburgh.co.uk>
Sent: 18 December 2019 15:24
To: Jill Bayley <Jill.Bayley@enfield.gov.uk>; Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>; Sean Shanmuganathan <sean@tyrroxburgh.co.uk>
Cc: Kulwinder Johal <Kulwinder.Johal@enfield.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Claim Number FOOED222

Good afternoon

It is correct to say that we no longer are on record as acting for the Defendant as Legal Aid has been terminated. However, we can confirm receipt of the letter dated 4 December 2019 from the Claimants to the Court requesting the December hearing be vacated. It does appear that the most recent sealed court order was incorrect when it referred to a "consent order" when it should have referred to the draft order that was attached. No such consent order was agreed given the specific and direct instructions from the Defendant, Mr Cordell. It appears that the court made an order in accordance with the **draft order** provided by the claimant.

It is also important to note that the Claim is not struck out and the Claimant can apply for it to be reinstated. Therefore the Claim is live but with no hearing date listed so if there are any further allegations then the case is likely to be restored.

If Mr Cordell is unhappy about the Court making any such order he can apply using Form N244 to set it aside.

I am not proposing to engage in further debate on this matter given we are not getting paid for our continued