|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 19/07/2012(**Landing Page**) Report! | * **Fest the Start of the Trailer Case:**

This wonderful festival. I do say, -- Life is funny, isn’t it?* **Si Note: -**

I got arrested for this case on the[15/10/**2012**](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rLogrlK5HoYlwhWstVLKgn-KeeNxwgpx9CZ8GTpG4Ec/edit#bookmark=id.35nkun2) |
| 20/07/2012 | **Fest!**I was at the festival all day on this day as explained above. |
| 15/10/2012 | **1****Police Pnc Printout!****Police Case Motor-Trailer I got arrested!****Arrest:** ARRESTED ON **15/10/12** 08:24.**Arrest/Summons Ref:****Name Charged:** Simon Cordell**Date of Birth:****Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED**DNA Status:** 01FP 15/10/12 NOT TAKEN.**Process Stage:** 12/01YE/01/5001U CORDELL, SIMON PAUL **Arresting Officer:** SIMS/PC/223781.**Report Owner:** (METROPOLITAN POLICE)**Prosecuting Agent:** CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE (CPS)**Description:** REMANDED ON BAIL ON **15/10/2012.****At: AT 01YE.****To Appear At:** North Hampshire Magistrates 01.**Owner:** Hampshire Magistrates 01.**15/10/2012** “Police Station”**13/11/2012** “Magistrates Court”**11/12/2012 “**At North Hampshire Magistrates 01.**02/01/2013 “**At WINCHESTER Crown Court”**00/00/2013** “To get Updated”**1****I felt Afraid: --**The front door got left closed and as I put my hand on the handle, I felt afraid of what might happen, as I attended to the knock on my front door that had woken me up?In view of the**15/10/2012**my mother was at her home, when the police came to her address, they done this when first looking for me on this day, when speaking to them outside of her front door, she asked why they had attended her home, she got told the reason was because of them police officer's presence, wanted to arrest me, for my newish trailer, that I had prior brought on the;**Link: --** [19/07/**2012**](https://docs.google.com/document/d/12VYa-e8FenlDD1_hY_NbW9BpxuocGx6VKebHx7tDUmo/edit#bookmark=id.3j2qqm3)The trailer that I had brought was lying outside chained up in my mother’s front garden, when the police had arrived there and somehow, some way, the police had said that this was the trailer, what was missing as stolen.This trailer was mine, and it was the one that I had brought of the man from the festival on the**19th – 20th - /07/2012**and to me then was being claimed as stolen. Soon after the police had arrived at my mother’s address “not only did this happen,” but also the police officer's come straight to my house, as they now wanted to arrest me and could not find me at my mother’s home address, for the Trailer that I had brought. In the long run, I did not know that they had been to my mother’s already and was on the approach towards mine. Without realizing that the police officers had arrived to my home, there was a knock on my front door, so I got up and looked through the spy hole, as this is my normal procedures to see outside of my home front door, on inspecting I noticed police officer's. To emphasize about what happened on the day at first, I would say; I felt afraid of what might happen to me and I think that this would be normal for most people, so after talking to the police officers, outside of my front door for some time, with my front door closed. I came to the understanding thereupon talking to them, that there was no other option in their heads, other than for me to go to the police station, with them. In detail, my brain analysed the situation and told me that on this occasion it would be best for me to protect myself & run, because I could speak to my solicitors and mother beforehand, so I headed straight out of the back door and before I knew it, I was running in my trainers and using my toes to move quickly, away over the garden fences. To put it differently, the next thing that I remember was the fresh air hitting my face, (or) rather, making me realize; that I was then from that second in time, on the run and this was from the police, at least until things got better. Getting, put into a state of realization: — I found out, that this time would be to the police officers’ satisfaction and I would get arrested and as a further result towards the on goings of the day, I would also get caught by a police dog and its handler. I had made it less than a couple of back gardens, along from my own back garden, but the police helicopter must have been local and I could hear it on its approach, so, I did not have many other options and hid under some attic installation in a shed. All I can remember is feeling sweaty and hot I felt that the game was soon to be up for me and that would mean that I was to get caught and would go to the police station, Soon after hearing the helicopter I ended up hearing a police dog that barked that was close on it approach to me I could tell that it was in another back garden next to the one that I had hid inside of, alongside to where I was hiding. Where I had to hide, I was contained with no luck, I had nowhere to run to and therefore I got stuck with no place to get away to. To continue to explain what happened next, I would say that on the negative side, for me, I had noticed that the police helicopter had hovered above me and  to date I still suspect with its cameras recording to where I had ended up in hiding, so, in the process I got out of the garden shed with my hands up and the helicopter was clearly, above me, I noticed that it must have been guiding the attending police officers to my present location. In accepting that the game was out of the bag, I walked over to the garden fence that was right next to where the police officer and dog handler was in, I popped my head up over the fence and said I surrender, as a result, the police dog handler told me to jump over the fence, and head towards him, I did as he said and as soon as my feet landed on the floor, he told the dog to attack me, in either case, I got hurt and all I can remember is the dog biting my face, body, arms and legs, I got taken to the hospital, for my injuries to get treated and I further stayed on police bail conditions in this case until**13/08/2013**when it was finally NFA.**The Conditions of the Police bail were as above!** |
| 13/11/2012 | Magistrates Court |
| 11/12/2012 | At North Hampshire Magistrates 01. |
| 02/01/2013 | “At WINCHESTER Crown Court” |
| **07/08/2013** | **1*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

55 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case **/ Page Numbers:** 118,119Gazebo Case!**1****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**55 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case**/ Page Numbers:** 118,119**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 07 August **2013** 22:10**To:** Lorraine Cordell**Subject:** Simon's caseHi LorraineWinchester Crown CourtThis case is in the warned list for**29th August 2013**I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller" |
| 13/08/2013 | **1*** **Bail Conditions**

Trailer case! **--****1****Bail Conditions**Trailer case!Bail conditions trailer case from the**15/10/2012**till the**13/08/2013**the case got an NFA! |
|  |  |
| **28/08/2013** | **2*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

88. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's case Winchester case **/ Page Numbers:** 207Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**2****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**88. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's case Winchester case**/ Page Numbers:** 207**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]**Sent:** 28 August **2013** 09:18**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'**Subject: RE:** Simon's case Winchester caseHi JoseyCan you please send the papers over for the Winchester case so Simon can read over them he can’t?remember much as it was over a year ago and as the case is in the warned list from the 29/08/**2013** he wanted to have a read over of the case. Not sure if Diana or Prince or the other girl will go court but if they do can they still say what they heard I think it’s going to a day thing if they turn up or not. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **01/09/2013** | **1*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward **/ Page Numbers:** 213Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**1****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue: 01**Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case**/ Page Numbers:** 213**213****Subject:** Regina. Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on**23rd September 2013****From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk); [re\_wired@ymail.com](http://re_wired@ymail.com);**Date:** Wednesday, 4 September **2013**, 11:39Dear Lorraine / Simon**RE:** REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR TRIAL AT **WINCHESTER CROWN COURT** ON**23RD SEPTEMBER 2013**ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODSPlease find attached copies of the following: -**1.** Unused materialRegardsJosephineAttachmentsUNUSED MATERIAL.pdf (1.00 MB) |
| **04/09/2013** | **5*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

90. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v **/ Page Numbers:** 217Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**6*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

91. x2 JOSEPHINE WARD Regina \_ (1) **/ Page Numbers:** 218Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**7*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

92. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina **/ Page Numbers:** 219Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**5****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**90. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v**/ Page Numbers:** 217**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 04 September **2013** 11:36**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on**23rd September 2013****Attachments:** CORDELL SIMON WINCHESTER CC ROTI.pdfDear Lorraine**RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT** **ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2013 ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODS**I refer to the above matters. Please find attached copies of the following:(sent in 3 emails)**1.** ROTIRegardsJosephine**6****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**91. x2 JOSEPHINE WARD Regina \_ (1)**/ Page Numbers:** 218**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 04 September **2013** 11:38**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth**Subject:** Regina. Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September **2013****Attachments:** CASE PAPERS.pdfDear Lorraine / Simon**RE:** REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT ON**23RD SEPTEMBER 2013**ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODSPlease find attached copies of the following:**1.** Case papers**2.** Bad character applicationKindly acknowledge safe receipt.RegardsJosephine**7****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**92. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina**/ Page Numbers:** 219**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 04 September **2013** 11:39**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth**Subject:** Regina. Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September **2013****Attachments:** UNUSED MATERIAL.pdfDear Lorraine / Simon**RE:** REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL **FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER** CROWN COURT ON 23RD SEPTEMBER **2013** ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODSPlease find attached copies of the following:1.Unused materialRegardsJosephine |
| **24/10/2013** | **2*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

109. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case / **Page Numbers:** 255Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**3*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

110. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Case **/ Page Numbers:** 256Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**2****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**109. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case **/ Page Numbers:** 255**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]**Sent:** 24 October **2013** 13:13**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'**Subject: RE:** Simon CaseHi JoseyI just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?Also, there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using their statements. And also, there saying this is a 2-day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.Lorraine**3****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**110. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Case**/ Page Numbers:** 256**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 24 October **2013** 16:20**To:** Lorraine Cordell**Subject: Re:** Simon CaseLorraineThe loser's statement is not being disputed. He said he was the owner he reported the trailer as being stolen from the location that Simon collected the trailer from. The CCTV will not change the case in any way either as Simon accepts that he collected the trailer and removed the trailer. The issue in the case is whether Simon bought the trailer in good faith. The jury will consider the price paid, where the contract was conducted and also the circumstances. They will undoubtedly question our inability to provide any defence witnesses to corroborate Simon's version of events. With regards to the trial lasting two days I do not have any control over the trial length. Simon gives his version of events and the jury either believe him or they do not. The CCTV evidence does not add to the case in any way. Simon accepted in his interview collecting the trailer, so I am not sure how the CCTV alters this. With regards to the case being in the Crown Court this was Simon's choice. The issue is when, where and what Simon said to satisfy himself that the person selling the trailer was the true owner. This is a question of fact. I hope that this clarifies the position.RegardsJosephineOn Thu, Oct 24, **2013** at 1:13 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**Hi JoseyI just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?Also, there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using their statements. And also, there saying this is a 2-day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.Lorraine |
| **25/10/2013** | **2*** **The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

111. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case\_ (1) **/** **Page Numbers:** 258Trailer Case WINCHESTER CROWN COURT**2****The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**111. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case\_ (1)**/ Page Numbers:** 258**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]**Sent:** 25 October **2013** 15:22**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'**Subject: RE:** Simon CaseHi JosseyJust letting you know the outcome of Simon case he was found not guilty thank youLorraine**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To**:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](http://josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**Sent:** 24 October **2013** 16:20**To:** Lorraine Cordell**Subject: Re:** Simon CaseLorraineThe loser's statement is not being disputed. He said he was the owner he reported the trailer as being stolen from the location that Simon collected the trailer from. The CCTV will not change the case in any way either as Simon accepts that he collected the trailer and removed the trailer. The issue in the case is whether Simon bought the trailer in good faith. The jury will consider the price paid, where the contract was conducted and also the circumstances. They will undoubtedly question our inability to provide any defence witnesses to corroborate Simon's version of events. With regards to the trial lasting two days I do not have any control over the trial length. Simon gives his version of events and the jury either believe him or they do not. The CCTV evidence does not add to the case in any way. Simon accepted in his interview collecting the trailer so I am not sure how the CCTV alters this. With regards to the case being in the Crown Court this was Simon's choice. The issue is when, where and what Simon said to satisfy himself that the person selling the trailer was the true owner. This is a question of fact. I hope that this clarifies the position.RegardsJosephineOn Thu, Oct 24, **2013** at 1:13 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](http://lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**Hi JoseyI just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?Also, there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using their statements. And also, there saying this is a 2-day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.Lorraine |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **23/09/2013** |  |
|  | End |