

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent time: 23/05/2016 03:18:21 AM
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Subject: Letter

Dear Josephine;

How are you, I hope all is well? After all, things considered, I will get straight into business, this letter is, furthermore, towards our conversations, however I would appreciate it if you can reply to my questions below, as soon as practically possible and with due time before you finish your contract and leave office, so that we can conclude the case files and agreed activities, such as taking the case to court, so that to be sure that the conditions are imposed and defined within accordance of jurisdiction of the law, as you have all ready agreed to do so, this is also inclusive of all information ready for the next representative of Michael Carroll's office, who will be the new case handler, so that he or she can be prepared to instruct any barrister for any court hearings, as per-listed.

Some of the questions are in relation to the understanding of the on goings that did occur, at and in the court mentioning at wood green crown court, on the 22nd 23rd this is also inclusive of the 24th 02/2016.

On that date mentioned the company, who you are acting for, that is, representing myself Mr. Simon Cordell, and further named as Michael Carroll & co solicitors, that you do or did represent a contract with till the 2nd June 2016,

This information was also inclusive of the understanding of the solicitor firms running objectives towards the ongoing of this case, that in this instance is being brought against myself Mr. Simon Cordell by the commissioner of the metropolitan police and his acting officers, this is also inclusive of any other local authorities governing body's, one mentioned as Enfield council. This being quoted being of an application representing a stand alone Anti Social Behavior Order 2003, an Act to make further provision in relation to criminal justice and disorder act 1994.

It is being said that Miss Josephine Ward, at a point of time before the date of the said trial hearing at Court, that was postponed and did not go ahead, that she undoubtedly mentioned, when giving her legal guidance too, such accusations of incidents, that does refer to the organisation of illegal raves, that still said "acting in my defense."

It is being said that you did in fact explain before the date of the hearing, I quote; explain being of information regarding to the past representing barrister a Mr. Andy Lock, relating to that of Intel stating that he would not be able to attend court and act for myself as he did previously at the magistrates court, due to being away on leave and this being off the only issue raised by yourself, said to be regarded myself of your concern.

On the date of the hearing another barrister did apply to the judge, in aid of my acting solicitors yourself, so to be sure, that of you, having to no longer represent me in the court proceedings, due to a break down in communication between our self's, the judge ruled that Michael Carroll and co's solicitors, must act till the conclusion of the case, the overall Point I am highlighting as referred to is that the judge, "on the whole" has ordered the company to act for myself Mr. Simon Cordell.

First Question and request is;

In that understanding, I ask and request for you to direct the case to be carried out in such a manner, if what is being request is legal to do so. I request that being off; at the day of my trial, to act litigant with my mother as a McKenzie friend and for a barrister that we do select together, to represent me inclusive, so for he or she to be well instructed to represent myself (in the background" on the days of court.

Second Question is;

I also ask of you to set up a meeting and for this request to be inserted within one month of this dated letter, this meeting will and should be between who will be taking on the case, after you leave your office, alongside with the acting barrister chosen. I believe and understand that this is within the constraints of the law; I take my guidance from; <https://www.gov.uk/represent-yourself-in-court/overview>

Second Request is;

I also request that you call for questioning the following officers and civilians;

- Josher Holyfield
- Superintendent Jane Johnson dated 30/ October 2014
- Steve Hodgson Dated 30th October 2014
- Dc Steve Elsmore Dated
- A/PS Charles Miles Dated 2nd August 2014
- A/Inspector Hamill Dated 6th August 2014
- Pc Donald Mcmillan Dated 14th August 2014 and 19th August 2014 • A/Inspector Douglas Skinner Dated 15th August 2014 and 9th September 2014
- A/PS Jason Ames Dated 15th August 2014
- Pc Aaron King Dated 15th August 2014 and 7th September 2014
- Pc Jhon Anderson Dated 19th August 2014
- Pc Eric Baker Dated 19th August 2014
- Pc Edgoose Dated 31 August 2014
- Hugh Giles, Director of Legal Services Metropolitan Police Director of legal services.
- Sally Gilchrist Legal Executive

Third question is;

Would it, please be possible for you to send me the barrister's notes, submission that he wrote for the last hearing also inclusive of a copy of the submission he prepared for myself in regards to the admittance of hearsay in the ongoing of the respondent's, case.

Forth question is;

I also request the date of my up and coming appeal, I know it is in Sep 2016 at some point in time but I am not sure what date.

Fifth question is;

There is the fact of the matter, which leads me to the concern of the Judge at Wood Green Crown Court giving the respondent, until the 01/09/2016 to hand over anything that was needed and what the judge himself asked to be given, as this date set will give me and the representatives of Michael carol and co solicitors, very little time in order to go over anything that will be handed over to us and the court.

The question is why did no one say anything about that date as it is so close to the appeal?

Sixth question is;

As has all ready been mentioned, I would like to know where I stand; I know you are leaving Michael Carroll & Co on the 03/06/2016. So I would like to know the person that will be taking my case over at Michael Carroll's & CO after you leave, I ask is someone actually taking over my case at the office?

The worry I have is when I spoke to Michael Carroll at the office, when meeting you Miss Josephine Ward, is that Mr. Carroll then went down stairs "Outside of his office" and then spoke to my mother, there confiscation was; Mr. Carroll said he will not do anything more on my case, because too much money had already been spent. So to me he is only worried about money and not someone's life he is acting for.

I have asked repeatedly for many issues to be addressed from the start of the on goings of the case which has never been done to date, issues such as defining the conditions that were wrongfully imposed, as in fact it is clearly omitted in section 63 of the Crime and public disorder act 1994, That stating section 63 is for outdoor events unless trespass has taken place and all incidents being referred to are indoors, also that being of the fact trespass clearly never happened.

The representing barrister clearly states in his submissions to you in paragraph (11) of his notes, "Quoted "that I was not found guilty under the respondent's case".

If such issues of concern had been addressed as listed in all of the copies of correspondence of emails as asked then I feel it would never have taken up so much of any person's time as listed in date 22nd May 2016, inclusive of the new up and coming Appeal hearing, as for sure my case would have already been rectified

I also believe I would not be feeling deprived of justice and not with an even further risk of a further date than the new set appeal date of September 2016.

I do believe you understand from the barrister submissions, which were sent after the hearing at Wood Green Crown Court to Michael carols office, this is also to be inclusive of all the emails that I and my mother have previously sent to Miss Josephine Ward in regards to my case, that being said in reference to myself handing to the judge on two different occasions, a copy of an article six containing evidence of police corruption in the development of the application you represent towards myself.

The issues listed and many other concerns previously listed have now piled up that must be addressed for myself to stand a fair and speedy trial, this work has then been added to the appeal costs and I feel that this has caused the cost to go up due to no fault of my own as I was never found guilty and the conditions were imposed wrongfully. As if surely my concerns were managed before the start of the trial, when I and my mother were asked over and over again, the cost would have been added to the initial trial costs and not to the appeal costs. But it seems that I get the blame for this when I should not.

I believe since you have looked more into the case and what was being asked of you to be done for the trial, you have seen and noticed the reason(s) and even further to that why we wanted this addressed before the trial as it is real points that should have been dealt with at the trial, you or any person

can see that parts of the respondents case inclusive of the jurisdiction of the law is imposed wrong, there file is totally incorrect and the timelines are not correspondent to their articles, sort after many other important parts which was never dealt with correctly, as for fact the police was allowed to pass such evidence off at the trial as being correct when clearly it was not correct.

Just listed are many important facts of this case, which should and will aid in myself to get a fair trial," which I never got at trial."

• Seventh question is;

Could I also be forwarded the trial cost invoice for legal aid so I can see it please?

• Eighth question is;

I have spoken to Michael Carroll on the phone the other day and he is also not willing to do any other work on this case, and states that the case is ready for appeal, how can it be ready when there is still information to come from the CPS not later than the 01/09/2016 ordered by the Judge?

- Ninth question is;

So where am I left with this no acting solicitor to act on my behalf to deal with my appeal as Michael Carroll clearly does not want to do anything and only says to me to talk to you, but I know you are leaving the company so where does this leave me? And I feel I will never get a fair appeal why because of costs, because things were not done, which I asked to be done and my mother asked for them to be done, why do I feel the way I do about this case and the worry I have had to suffer?

- Tenth question is;

There are real big issues, I know that Michael Carroll & Co does not wish to deal with this Appeal is this due to the mess up due to things not being addressed at trial? I know Michael and you want to get broken away from this appeal and the judge never allowed this to happen, yet when you leave will Michael Carroll asked for this again?
How am I to know anything how am I not meant to worry?

This is my life and I have asked time and time again and so has my mother since this case started for the conditions to be defined, for this to be taken back to court and get them defined no one has done this in the case.

Even at trial Andy Locke tried to get this dealt with and the judge would not deal with this. Why can't these conditions be defined by the court why have I got to suffer not going out in fear the police will arrest me due to these conditions an avoiding tribunal and disciplinary action.

- Eleventh question is;

I keep explaining that I do not understand the conditions also that being of how they were legally put in place, so I ask you, if you yourself can see the conditions are wrong in law and if so why?

- Twelfth question is;

I have also attached a copy of the court transcripts of the day at Highbury Magistrates Court and request that you verify them to be true articles and submit them to the respondent, in support of the evidence of my trial, and confirm so?

I am left on conditions that have never been defined that are a beach to my human rights and nothing has been done, yet we have asked over and over again for this to be addressed.

I would like the above issues addressed before you leave the company on the 03/06/2016 so I know where I stand for the appeal please.

Yours fifthly
Mr. Simon Cordell