

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent time: 05/03/2018 04:42:58 PM
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Subject: re: Court Letter
Attachments: Si-Letter-to-court-03-03-2018.pdf

Here read please

Mr. Simon Cordell
109 Burncroft Ave
Enfield
EN3 7JQ
03/03/2018

) **Case Ref: EOOED049**

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter due to some confusion with the above case number, this case is with regards to an Injunction Order from, The London Borough of Enfield towards myself Mr. Simon Cordell.

On the 09/08/2017 case ref: D02ED073 the Claimant was granted an interim injunction order, this order I disputed, but due to the Claimant not doing what the court ordered this interim injunction order was discharged and struck out by the court on the 17/11/2017.

The Claimant tried on the 09/01/2018 to get case ref: D02ED073 reinstated which the judge did not allow.

On the 09/01/2018 the Claimant put the new application in for the issue of the same interim injunction order which the court had refused to reinstate, which the court granted case ref: EOOED049.

The Claimant have stated the interim injunction order of the 09/01/2018 was served to me on the 10/01/2018, which I am disputing this was served personally to myself on the 10/01/2018.

On the 05/02/2018 we were to attend court the judge was not happy that the interim injunction order had been served correctly due to there being no affidavit of service filed to the court, also there was other application the Claimant has submitted to the

court which I had not seen, the Judge did on the 05/02/2018 ask the Claimant to complete a list of things please see below.

IT IS ORDERED THAT

1. The Claimant do by 4pm on 09/02/2018 file and serve an affidavit of service.
2. The Claimant do by 4pm on 09/02/2018 serve on the Defendant by first class post its application of 05/02/2018.
3. Matter be listed for further consideration of the order 09/01/2018 and the Claimant's application referred to above, on 30/05/2018 at 14:00pm (time estimate 1 hour).
4. The Defendant's address for service is 109 Buncroft Avenue, Enfield EN3 7JQ

I have received the new application and within the documents is a statement of affidavit of service which I am still disputing was served correctly.

Within the statement of affidavit of service from Andy Philippou, a Process Server of Global Investigation Services Limited, Earnscliff House, London N99AB, it states please see below.

“1. That I am over sixteen years of age.

2. That I did on Wednesday 10 January 2018 at approximately 10.20.am attend at the offices of VLS Solicitors, Gibson House, 800, High Road, Tottenham, London N17 ODH in order to meet with the Defendant's Solicitor. That I did at approximately 10.30.am. meet and personally serve Suzanne Ozdemir (receptionist) of VLS Solicitors with the following:

An Injunction Order dated 09 January 2018 with Notice of Hearing on OS/02no18 at 2.pm

A General Form of Judgement or Order dated 09 January 2018

A Power of Arrest dated 09 January 2018

An N244·Application Notice

A Statement of Lemmy Nwabusi dated 08 January 2018, with exhibits

A Court Order ·

A Statement of Ludmilla lyavoo dated 03 January 2018, with exhibits

3. That I did on the same date at approximately 11.30.am and in the absence of a response from the Defendant's address of 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, Middlesex EN3 7JQ post through the letterbox of 109 Burncroft -Avenue, Enfield, Middlesex EN3 7JQ copies of the aforementioned documents in a sealed plastic wallet for the attention of the defendant.

4. That I did on the same date having had notification from the Claimant Solicitor of the Defendant's arrest the previous evening attend at Wood Green Police Station in order to meet and personally serve the defendant with the aforementioned documents. That I did after having had to wait post interview and having the matter referred to the duty Sergeant by Officer Tahir Razzaq; meet and serve the above-named defendant with the aforementioned documentation in the presence of five officers in the doorway of holding cell 9.

5. That at the time of service the aforementioned defendant admitted his identity to me as Simon Cordell, namely an adult male of mixed race, possibly in his mid 20's, approximately 5'10" tall and slim build.

6. This statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it were tendered in evidence, I would be liable to prosecution if I wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or did not believe to be true."

As stated this is a new interim injunction order dated the 09/01/2018 so why did the Claimant serve this to a solicitor who in fact was not dealing and knew nothing about this application, and in fact is not representing in this new case.

I believe posting this in someone's door does not class this application as it being served.

And I believe the police allowing a person into the police custody area where I was being held in a cell and then allowing this person along with five police officers is wrong in law.

There is also the fact that Andy Philippou has stated he personally served the defendant with the aforementioned documents in the cell and that I gave my name.

This is untrue as I stated to the judge on the 05th February 2018, I would not allow the above person to serve me any documents while I was in police custody, I stated that

the police came to my cell with the person, and I stood by the CCTV camera in the police cell with my hands over my ears and started shouting so I could not hear anything that was being said. Due to me doing this the police closed the cell door and asked the person to leave the police station.

There is also the fact that the police had told me they were going to allow this person to serve me in the police station, my solicitor and mother was also told this, I had told the police I would not allow this to happen, which my solicitor also told the police I would not allow this. My mother also spoke to the officer in charge and told him it was a breach of law to allow this, the police are getting involved in a civil court matter which I would not have any control over as I was classed as not a free person, and the police had total control, that the order should only be served at my home address or a business work place. The officer in charge said he would talk to the custody officer and let her know what he said, when he asked the custody officer he told the officer in charge he was going to allow this, which was then passed on to my mother and my solicitor.

At this point in time I have asked the police for a subject access request of the CCTV and also all records of me bring in the police station.

I dispute that the interim injunction order was served on me personally at the police station.

I also depute the facts which the Claimant have put in this interim injunction order, since 2014 I have been left in my home with no help from the Claimant, many calls have been made many emails have been sent as well as complaints, but it would seem to be the case that the Claimant have ignored everything regarding me and only go on what the neighbours have said, I have been left to live in hell with what the neighbours are doing, I have to live in my own home with CCTV in every room so my movements are recorded, I record every thing as this is the way I have been left to live like in my own home, because I am being blamed for everything that goes on in my block of flats.

There is many emails which show I asked the Claimant for help regarding what is going on where I can sit down in my home and show the Claimant what is going on with my family there with me, The Claimant has refused to come out and take any reports from me and tell me I have to go to them to do this but they have been told I do not cope well when I have to leave my flat, but it seems the Claimants have no problem with going to the neighbours when they say anything.

It is ironic that I was begging for help in many emails and phone calls before any complaints when into to the Claimants about me, yet I am left to deal with it on my own with no help when I was asking for help. But as soon as a complaint went in from the neighbour the Claimants act on it. I believe there is reasons for this and I believe they will be fully seen by the court.

I have made so many calls to the police which I do have all the CAD numbers begging for help I just get told they won't get involved but as soon as the neighbours put a complaint in the police are there to arrest me.

I asked for help so many times the abuse is still ongoing every day by the neighbours yet the council will take no reports, we were told to only have contact with the acting solicitors.

This has had a large impact on my health which the Claimants are well aware off. My mother has had to help write this to the court.

I am unsure if this order is in place or not, and when I made a call to the police over the last days they have told me they do not believe it has been served correctly. Could the court please update me regarding this.

Regards

Mr S Cordell