

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent time: 08/03/2016 03:40:19 PM
To: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Please reply

i would like you to deal with the issues i have raised before we go any further as i feel it is un fair for you not to adress them as they a re to do with my case and i have asked the same questions to yourself time and time again will you do this for me p[lease in a headed company letter. please reply.

On Tuesday, 8 March 2016, 14:23, JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com> wrote:

Simon

Please confirm you can attend my office this Thursday at 6pm so that I can deal with the relevant aspects of your appeal and the questions raised by HHJ Pawlak.

Many thanks

Josephine

On 8 Mar 2016 11:48, "Rewired Rewired" <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

I have a few questions I have to ask off you that have built up and I know that I have been asking since the start of my court case, that I do feel have not been addressed correctly? So please can you answer each question individually and bulletined in the same format, so I can understand my case, with your legal guidance;

- Why have I not ever been arrested, for some think that clearly states that it is illegal?
- Is it wrong for my Asbo case to be sitting in its civil capacity at court when it states in the applicants case I am being accused of the organisation of illegal raves with no previous convictions?
- Why did the distract Jude in the magistrates court say to me which can be checked in the court transcripts which has been provided to you that private air and open air are the same in turn stating that it is illegal to listen to music with out licensing to which my self and barrister clearly state other wise? And am I Wong in believing that the district Jude was wrong in stating this to be a true fact of law?
- I ask you my solicitor Josephine ward to check my pnc recorded provided within the applicants bundle to see that off me not having any similar convictions under the criminal justice act 1998 before the incident(s) dates that I am being accused of relating to the organisation of illegal raves and to confirm this in a company headed letter with the rest of the answers regarding your guidance in the points of law to each specific questions contained within this letter addressed to your self who is my acting solicitor representing me in the ongoing of the applicants case towards an ASBO application? I ask of you to do this before our next needed meeting that has been agreed.
- I ask you for your guidance in the question of "How can I get the blame for being the company named ever decibel matters, when I have provided a company head letter with the company number listed at company house, off the director that I have managed to acquire due to the ongoing Asbo application? To which explains that I was not involved in the date in question also that being of the court transcripts stating of office Pc Elsmore that he has done no further investigation in to the allegations he has accused my self off on the dates relating to mill marsh lane in reference to every decibel matters?
- Please can you reply to this question, How can I stand a fair trial in 2016 with my up and coming appeal date, with the evidence the applicant rests it case on being of backward time stamps relating to the incident numbers and previous correspondents.? To which I would like you to confirm is more than likely to be in error form the list of correspondents I have provided you with so far relating to the management of national standards for incident recording NSIR and collection and recording of police procedure (Command and Control) and Emergency services command and control?
- I ask you my acting solicitor the question of "Why has the applicant not removed cases that when the Asbo application was in development was clearly added incorrectly due to the other whelming fact that I Mr Simon Cordell clearly could have not committed such offence as dated the 19th August 2013, which does in fact relate to cad 10635 19th July 2014 page 294 to which a member of the public made a emergency 999 call in relation to "all white males and females entering a premises, to which the cad continues to explain that members of the met police attended the location to contain the people who were in fact occupiers of the Land within their home, also listing all name and vehicles of the occupiers contained in the building to which I am none of the listed?
- My next question I require you to help give your legal guidance in is "What was the need for the applicant to updated their incident reports also named as (information Reports) at such a latter date(s) after the information had all ready been created after the event date, in turn creating such lengthy time periods between the initial event date contained within the national police computer to the entry of the police statements intelligence, Is that incorrect in police procedure?
- I ask you to take reference to all blocked out att locations that are relating to other house parties that was within a two minute distance form the location in question On the 6th 7th 8th June 2014, other wise known and named as the old man building adjoined to Crown Road, to which officer Pc Elsmore states at trial under oath that he was sure that their was no other house party's on the same date that are contained within the applicants bundle and that all incident that are contained relate