

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

Met Police

1st Statement

DEF;

Has made a application for an ASBO Order.

Inspector Hamill to lead...

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personally spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van registration is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

R v Cordell

2

Hearsay of officers continues.

D @ venue but officer not present here today.

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day.

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave.(Progress Way)

Met Police RE-XE

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser, referring too page 184 Info re: caller reporting incident.

DJ

Was ?SH? opp raised previously.

DEF

No.

Witness 2 Pc Miles – RO – 11:45 Am EIC

Attended venue on the 7th alone – did look @ Intel before attending.

Officer did not speak to any of the owner's.