

[AE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20in  
cident%20procedure&f=false](#)

## Point 2

Blocked out Inc locations and other relevant information, that should be contained within the cads that have been presented in the applicants bundle. Only in serious circumstances in cases such as were it is absolutely nessery to aid in the prevention of witness or victim intimidation should an officer be trusted to block out such information.

Under oath pc Steve Elsmore state to the district Jude that “Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.” When in fact it is his login that created and printed the applicants bundle this can be proved by his signature and also by the computer id log that must be used to print the data contained within the Police National Computer and now has been submitted and is contained with the applicants bundle and is verified at the top of most of the pages or within.

Pc Elsmore states under oath that he did not carry out any further investigations in regards to speaking to the owners of any premises to fix that of a notice of trespass or conviction of twok as the main investigating officer. He states “I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue”

Pc Elsmore states under oath “There was a rave on an adjoining Road but not on that day.” **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

“Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)”

CADS CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUNDIL THAT ARE PRINTED IN Pc Steve Elsmore name and as the leading investigator he would have known the truth to the locations blocked out that are in fact crown road another house party a five minute drive from progress way and if not for the grid numbers being not blocked out inclusive of other landmarks such as A&J cars based in Enfield I would not have been able to prove my innocents in the on going application leading to an un fair trial.

- Cad Page 276 == A& J cars Enfield ===Crown rd ==I would not have been able to prove my innocence in this case if it was not for A & J CARS being left in text, and no this is the same fro many of the other Cads contained within the ASBO application.

Cad 340 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 blocked out page 260

Cad 793 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 blocked out page 268

Cad 2410 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 blocked out A&J cars Crown Road page 276

Cad 3151 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 Southbury Road Crown Road page 278

Cad 3319 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 Southbury Road / Crown Road page 283

Cad 11822 19<sup>th</sup> Jul 2014 Southbury Road / Crown Road page 302