I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here todav.)

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day. (Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all

locations were to do with progress way on this date.)

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14;10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council (unreadable text) curfews (unreadable text) that PNC info on statement adds no (unreadable text) plobatory (unreadable text) value of info re: Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculatory in nature.

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe's not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 - Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand - relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: "3 massive nitrous tanks"

 \mathbf{DJ}

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters - They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words - same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you? Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (unreadable text) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (unreadable text) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (unreadable text) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all officers.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all independent witness.

(Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elesmore)

"Independent witness to frightened due to reprisal."