

- 4) D's Mothers evidence – totally irrelevant – her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.
- 5) 7th June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos .Miles witness, Cordell (D). Inspector Hamill miles points, to D being the organiser.
- 6) Disruption and concern Rave caused that is outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.
- 7) 19th July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong,
- 8) Crimit's reports show D as organiser, of large raves according to officer's statements.
- 9) Test mode out of submissions above.
- 10) Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1).Test of Public Nuisance? Does not **(text missing)** delaminates? Of fact, but from Cad's Re: alarm distress etc. Shows this has happened. The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control. – Disorder due to shutting events down.

2) Pc Elsmore: Description **(of crime)** levels after the D was subject to order has reduced – only 3 – when D was active was significant more.

3) The order is necessary and attention drawn to carefully word interim order.

Def Closing subs

1) Test to be passed can the allegations be proven? Deceived that alleged it may be illegal, it does not need to cause Alarm harm or Distress.

Page 2 and 3,

Hearsay from Steve Elsmore is a copy and paste job.

Pc Parcel not correct to file evidence, of Crimit's, which contained incorrect evidence that can not be backed up, of D known for class A drugs and or supply – info is widely inaccurate.

Totality of evidence is hearsay as well as reports at Cannery Wharf.

No proof this was an illegal rave, as S.63 CJO 1994, No proof of Tress Pass – determination not proved to Criminal Legal Standards.

I did XEX Officer of @ no time did he indicate where info had come from, 24/05/2014.

2nd Allegations – Application relies on Hearsay again and Crimit's **Pages 104 – 107**, noted from evidence.

2nd Could hearsay from Josher Holyfield, who allegedly confessed that was looking to set up raves ---

(A large section of court transcripts are missing)

Crimit's, "steward not her again."

R V CORDELL

8

Page 98-100 – hearsay – from a Pc again – all in 3rd person, no indication that Pc attended himself.

No evidence that it was illegal rave.

Show determination in view of illegal rave and no proof hasbeen submitted or covers witness as victim.

No allegations where app. Produced 1st hand evidence.

The particulars of allegations, states illegal rave and no proof of the required standards has been submitted, nothing adduced.

It may be unlikely for presumption that given but it's possible.

In XEX.

App **(text missing)** del failed to Enfield Council, who did not pursue.

Does it show the organiser or just some one getting involved in things he shouldn't.

Hearsay be **(text missing)** Grounds are not here. =====

No evidence police confirmed D to be organiser.

D spoke to police; he gives reasonable Intel, calming he can't keep his mouth shut.

A man who state's his someone else's lawyer.

This is a rave said to have lasted 3 days but evidence is weak.

Tyrone's presence was untrue, due to life threatening injuries. No competent evidence.

Police had Intel, Re: Every Decibel Matters, with no further line of investigation.

Additional hearsay, only evidence is a van of equipment hired equipment for free.

19/07/2014

Carpet Right – Inspector Skinners evidence – the indoor test of legality is proof of trespass and nothing adducted.

Mystery why no statement was taken from owner of keys? Also whether or not consultation's had been given to access the premises.

On another occasion: Mr Cordell gave explanations to his presents.

24/07/14

"D does not accept he organised", Pc Edgoose **Page 50** – statement said he "did organise illegal raves" Admissions alleged from evidence, Entirely of conversations of others, not clear.

27/07/14

Same on Mill marsh Lane, hearsay evidence of a number of Pc's, who were called and gave evidence.

Interesting that some one other than D, (lost text) has supported evidence of people living and potentially other's on the land treating it as home.

Further evidence inaccurate regards shoplifters.

9/10 August

Evidence of Pc officers, does not match up with allegations in the application – on his duties, odd their being squatters, also did not try to contact owner while on duty suggesting D there at private party – due to lack of suitable equipment, evidence D was attending a private party.

Councillor; ?

General credibility of witnesses was errors, because hearsay of Crimit's of no prominence taking into account weight of statement.

Page 32 ? day and event 2.

Inconsistencies that are bios of officers to include evidence that favours the application by being unreadable.

R V CORDELL

-09-

Allegation of 15 to 10 boys (text missing) to talk unrelative of conduct.

Fear of reprisals.

LTC when given evidence was to prove sound organisation possibly which D accepts.

If? D was polite on his case.

Investigation not performed with measurements as it should have been.

Vendetta families highlighted.

Inconsistence's between start of Crimit's, a complete absinth of follow up, "is simply worrying".

What other info is wrong, that we have not been able to check?

DJ

Mr Justio?? Pitons??? – sum ??? and ???

Test of???? – Not related to police resources.

Was ASBO serious and persistent?

Decrease in activity – "huge decrees since Interim ASBO "but no indication of trends: before – after and previous years.