

Statements of officers, who also admitted that the intelligence contained within the bundle has been copied from the pnc also that of the officers who reported the intelligence not being present at court.
 SNIPLIT DATED 00/00/2015

(Exhibit of SNIPLIT relating to transcripts)

Supporting evidence of Police questioned under oath in relation to applicants ASBO on going application;
R v Cordell

Def

Mother of D in court + potentially giving evidence.

Met

Police – No objections.

Probably the case will go over till tomorrow.

6 Witness of facts police.

1 Officer in case.

To be 6 – 22; Case statements.

Def

Just gave info, possession of new info on face book, not in bundles before court, but should be. Shows info suggesting never organised but other people did, nothing to do with w/d.

DJ

Interim ASBO made case by been well (unreadable text)

DEF

This evidence shows that Rave on 6/6/? Was nothing to do with w/d.

Miss Cordell mother has carried out her own investigations as she was not happy with results of investigating officer / so/s.

It is a large bundle to get through this late.

If material can be viewed by DJ

(Possible metered.) Then DJ can decide on admissibility of the evidence.

DJ

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

Met Police 1st State

DJ

Has made application for ASBO ORDER.

Inspector Hamill is to lead.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personally spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Met Police RE-XE

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser.

Referring to page number 184 Info re: caller reporting incident. **(Please take Note here in regards to the applicant's Skeleton bundle.)**

DJ

Was **(unreadable text)** opp raised previously?

DEF

No

Witness 2 Pc Miles – RO – 11:45 AM EIC

Attended venue on the 7th alone – did look @ Intel before attending.

Did not speak to owners

Did not know D was with Tyrone Benjamin **(Please Take note here.)**

WITNESS 3 – PC Skinner – Bundle Tabs 12 of 13 Lead

Statement 1 Tab 13

On the 7th Duty officer (+) walked in to Estate and saw a van but did not recognise van.

He saw D however who admitted he was the organiser of the rave

(Statement 2 Tab 12)

Youths were committing shop lifting out of the petrol station

I had to call for reserve intervention.

I arrested D and people dispersed and D was realised.

Rave did not take place.

No doubt rave would have continued had he not arrested D.

DEF XEX

19TH July event @ Carpet right company building was occupied.

Saw speakers – Intel were loading equipment indoors.