

compound and asked them to check the point of issue, to see if this is what was causing the error. It took them some time but it seems it was due to my insurance being trade and the MID allowing the space to be put in and it showed a correct upload to the MID database that caused this problem.

But Mr Simon Cordell's problems just did not stop there. He did not get summons from the court in respect of the ongoing court proceedings and was found guilty, in his absinth, for no insurance. This was due to not knowing he had a court date, this became another problem and he got a ban due to points this was inclusive a fine, email upon email was being sent to the courts but case Simon and his mother was have problems getting the issues of cause rectified and felt that as if of they were not getting dealt with correctly, nearly all of Mr Simon Cordell's insurance that was paid for during the period of 2014 to 2015, he could not drive due to the errors.

In reference to the case were the police office had lied to Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company, he had been trying to get a copy of the tape(s), of when the police office had been speaking to KGM my insurance company at the time of Mr Simon Cordell, being pulled over at road side, from the police officer(s) in charge of the case, themselves with subject access requests, to which they were not dealing with, so the case was called to court for trial and the police officer had lied to the judge, mr Simon Cordell was again found guilty, and banned from driving and fined, he submitted an appeal and the judge accepted it so now the ban was not in force until the appeal date. The judge also helped by explaining that if Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company did not hand over the data that he had been seeking to trying to get hold of by the date and time of the appeal, that he could apply to the crown court to summons the insurance company KGM to court. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother in fact did get the information before the appeal date and the recording of what the police officer said to my insurance at road side. Mr Simon Cordell also had to get a barrister for the appeal date. Again the police officer lied in court, my barrister let him, then my barrister played a little from the recording and stopped it and asked the police officer is that you. Which he replied yes, the recording was restarted which showed the police officer had lied, I won my appeal, there is a complaint that has been put in which is still being dealt with about that case. But it took Mr Simon Cordell and his mother until 2015 to clear his name for the reasons of no insurance, so to be able to clear all the bans and points of his driving license, after him self and his mother sending hundreds of emails,

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicant Bundle.**

**24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues to set up an illegal rave**

**24.05.13** = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal raves, nor did he supplied equipment. This case was only added as a reference as the limitation Act 1980 which states, that a case must be applied 6 months from the date of the incident, to which it was not. Please read my last statement dated the 24/02.2015.

It is alleged that Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held, on 24th May 2013. Mr Simon Cordell will dispute this. He will state that he had been contacted by a friend called Joshua, who was living at 204 High Street Ponders End EN3 4EZ, also known as the Old Police Station at Ponders End, as he and some others were homeless, unless this was possible.

As Mr Simon Cordell was driving towards 204 High Street, he drove his car down the alleyway so that he could park the vehicle he was in, He parked between two well known land marks, Which is were many people who do live in an around the surrounding areas, would be able to remember as the old