

DEF

Material deters with PNC that was included by Met – There fore right to challenge. Plus PNC in evidence not correct.

DJ

Very little weight will be given to PNC.

DJ

Miss Cordell

Met XEX

(Bottom of Page 8) the leaving party for Dwayne Edwards.

I got there at 7:30PM and left about 9:30pm 6th – 8th June – D was also with Dwayne the days of Saturday and Sunday as well.

He was at my house for a 1 hour and half on Saturday and 1 hour on Sunday during the day. I agree I did not include it in my statement.

On Sunday it was around midday.

I was not with D from about 2AM on Sunday, no I was not.

Nor at 2AM on Saturday either.

On the 7th June I did see my son and so did all my family members that were at the party.

(At Page 14)

“Police did not have 101 books”

(2 and 3 paragraphs)

Accepts that was told to me by DS Chapman.

DS Tanner called me on 11th or 12th. I believe they have a lot more information.

I am aware of full **(unreadable text)** alleged involvement but not raves.

I do believe that met have a vendetta against my whole family including Tyrone – Harassment: pull them out for no reason, I would not say from every officer.

R V CORDELL

7

Miss Cordell continues

I am saying that there may be some truth but allegations of my son organising raves is horrendous.

(Been scribbled out?)

About medical statements of info has not been contained re question: D had been stabbed and was in hospital

(Been scribbled out?)

20th June couldn't give evidence as to D were about but believe he had been arrested on the 19th 20th July not witness him – did not give detailed route in statement because did not think it was relevant.

Problems with service of docs with police and would not take bundle because?? ?? With police, He panics and rings me every time he is stopped.

I have so **(unreadable text)** and right down all encounters with police all low not in the bundle.

DEF XEX

I accept involvement of police – they interact with her son and family.

You said Met police have a lot of info of you said “accepted involvement but not raves “

I have involvement with police of lots of data practically with Simon, but not in regards to raves, Issues other than the raves.

I don't accept he is involved in organisation of raves.

Continues Tomorrow.

R V CORDELL

2/2 DAYS

-1-

Witness XEX

So you are not yet Charity registered “Too Smooth”

Company were young entrepreneurs can advertise there Business.

Page 77

Retail brunches relating to music such as sound equipment and co involved in provision of sound equipment hire.

Never took profit money from company.

(Page 87)

Deposit of £700-.00 daily rate is £100.

It is my signature at the end of this **(unreadable text)** the figures have not been edited **(Page 88)**

All deposits are non returnable under any circumstances on this mandatory if the equipment got confiscated, I did not make any profit, and I just did it to get to no people.

Non profit – just a hobby

STATEMENT PAGE 2 – BOTTOM PAGE:

You state that I accept and aim was to rent equipment.

Its being suggested to you that the business you was designed was to make a profit.

DJ

As you own entertainment equipment – Yes –

I was not renting out equipment – being it a lot suggested that primary aim was to make a profit.

Renting him out sound equipment. **(No not at all.)**

Are you aware that music is a licensed activity and beliefs need a licence to play music?

I need a licence for both premises Yes.

I would not check if lending equipment to a private party.