

Point 1 about previous convictions:

Mr Simon Cordell also reverses his rights of the rehabilitation Act and state time spent is of all convictions on his criminal recorded. And that he is sure of the fact that of being that he does not have any previous conventions, nor has he been charged with any similar natured offences with relevance to an ASBO application.

There are errors on his PNC record which he has been trying to rectify and there for does not agree with any records of his criminal record

- **Vehicle ownership**

Point 1 about Vehicle ownership:

Mr Simon Cordell inserts and instates his Rights of the Freedom of Movement. As expressed in article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it asserts that:

A citizen of a state in which that Citizen is present has the liberty to travel, reside in, and/or work in any part of the state where one pleases within the limits of respect for the liberty and rights of others. At no point has Mr Simon Cordell used his vehicles to organize a rave or attended an illegal rave knowingly.

(Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elesmore)

"His statement aims to show supporting evidence of the course of behaviour of Mr Simon Cordell acting in an Anti Social Manner).

Steve Elesmore: Provides statements of hearsay obtained by police and witness, been witnessed first hand by officers, been witness by independent witness. Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. (This was in fact early Hours of the 8th around 1:00am.)

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. (Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14;10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council (unreadable text) curfews (unreadable text) that PNC info on statement adds no (unreadable text) plobatory (unreadable text) value of info re: Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe's not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: "3 massive nitrous tanks"

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.