

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent time: 24/02/2016 05:38:59 PM
To: Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Cc: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: R v Simon Cordell Appeal Letter

I am in the right by asking you to look into the time stamps so that i can have a fair trial and you will not, i have many emails of my self and my mother asking you to act with my best interest at heart and write to the witness in time for my appeal date, to which this was not done in time as i had explained i was worried about as well as the true points of law relevant to the applicants case. The Judge did set a fair time period for you to set the key objectives so that i could be ready to stand a fair and speed trial.

You have refused to meet my self in this time set and would only meet my mother, this was due to me asking you on the phone, if i could take a copy of the minutes in audio format of our meeting, to which you took as a fret, i have the texts of me being polite to you straight after our conversation stating that i meant no offence and saying sorry if i did upset you, as i class you as a close family member after you representing me over the years.

I have not sent you any correspondence to show that i am not of well health as you seem to be claiming and had not meet you for months, as you refused to do so.

after you refusing not to meet me after such a long period and you only meeting my mother who was not at any of the incidents contained within the applicants bundle. I find it unjust as i clearly have the texts asking you to deal with just me about my statements and case and asked if you would forward my mother as she is going to help with my emails.

on the last occasion of a meeting regarding my case you allowed me to attend with my mother as you had got over me asking for minutes of the meetings you was holding with my mother or any that you might have with my self, i attended and there was no problems at your office i even tidied up a little in your office as our meeting was coming to an end as you had your next client waiting so i was very quiet.

i have all ready been assessed by the mental health team on 3 occasions now over a fair period of time and each time i have been told that i am well. In fact the last time i asked for minutes of the meeting to be taken with the mental health team, as i did with you and there was no problem in me doing so, i have the whole recording on cd dated 25/01/16. In the time i had with the mental health team i showed them issues about my court case and the time stamps and other issues that i have raised with your self and they clearly state on the cd that it is a serious error that you my solicitor needs to pay attention to me as does any other person that i show my case.

Points i have not seen you to show you that i am of ill health

The barrister that meet me on our first occasion only see me for 2 mins the other day at my said appeal date which was not ready in time I have all ready been cheeked by 3 different teams, who clearly state and write if the members of the Met police had treated them in such a manner over a period of time they would have issues of concern regarding equal rights and many other relevant rights

I am well on mind as the police put there signature at the end of the case papers that i ask you to make sure that i get a fair trial with and the doctors agree that i am right on cd as does many over people on the internet and legal omdudsman

please can you reply to what grounds you believe me not to be fit for trial and any plans of action that you may plan to take in regards to my ongoing appeal.

On Wednesday, 24 February 2016, 16:18, Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com> wrote:

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding across to you a letter that I have received from HHJ Pawlak, who will be adjudicating at the appeal hearing on 26th September 2016. He will also be presiding over the mention hearing on 4th April 2016.

The first point that must be addressed in question 3 and this concerns your mental health Simon and your fitness to follow proceedings, instruct solicitors and consider advice. I am therefore going to apply for funding so that you can be assessed so that this area can be clarified. This is important. Your behaviour in court on Monday raised a number of concerns surrounding this point.

The second point is answering and responding to question 2. This question can only be properly addressed once we receive confirmation from a Psychiatrist that you are able to follow proceedings etc

Paragraph 4 makes it clear that the Court will not allow Michael Carroll & Co to come off the record unless the Senior Partner attends in person. We cannot advance your case or respond to question 2 until such time as the psychiatric confirms that there are no issues with your ability to follow proceedings.

You will note the areas that the court wishes to concentrate on are listed in paragraph 2. All the points will have to be carefully considered, in my view they are loaded questions that are seeking to achieve foundation for the ASBO application. The Judge is referring to events but in brackets using the word rave. He is not stating illegal rave. There are five subsections but ultimately subsection 2(c) is probably the question that Judge is most interested in knowing your response to.

Paragraph 4 makes it clear that the Court will not allow Michael Carroll & Co to come off the record unless the Senior Partner attends in person or unless you wish to transfer legal aid.