

Does it show the organiser or just some one getting involved in things he shouldn't

Hearsay be (text missing) Grounds are not here =====

No evidence police confirmed D to be organiser

D spoke to police; he gives reasonable Intel, calming he can't keep his mouth shut

A man who state's his someone else's lawyer

This is a rave said to have lasted 3 days but evidence is weak

Tyrone's presence was untrue, due to life threatening injuries No competent evidence

Police had Intel, Re: Every Decibel Matters, with no further line of investigation

Additional hearsay, only evidence is a van of equipment hired equipment for free

**19/07/2014**

Carpet Right – Inspector Skinners evidence – the indoor test of legality is proof of trespass and nothing adducted

Mystery why no statement was taken from owner of keys? Also whether or not consultation's had been given to access the premises

On another occasion: Mr Cordell gave explanations to his presents

**24/07/14**

“D does not accept he organised”, Pc Edgoose **Page 50** – statement said he “did organise illegal raves” Admissions alleged from evidence,

Entirely of conversations of others, not clear

**27/07/14**

Same on Mill marsh Lane, hearsay evidence of a number of Pc's, who were called and gave evidence

Interesting that some one other than D, (lost text) has supported evidence of people living and potentially other's on the land treating it as home

Further evidence inaccurate regards shoplifters

**9/10 August**

Evidence of Pc officers, does not match up with allegations in the application – on his duties, odd their being squatters, also did not try to contact owner while on duty suggesting D there at private party – due to lack of suitable equipment, evidence D was attending a private party

**Councillor; ?**

General credibility of witnesses was errors, because hearsay of Crimit's of no prominence taking into account weight of statement

Page 32 ? day and event 2