

attend at Wood Green Police Station in order to meet and personally serve the Defendant with the aforementioned documents. That I did after having had to wait post interview and having the matter referred to the Duty Sergeant by Officer Tahir Razzaq; meet and serve the above-named defendant with the aforementioned documentation in the presence of five officers in the doorway of holding cell 9.

5. That at the time of service the aforementioned defendant admitted his identity as Simon Cordell, namely, an adult male of mixed race, possibly in his mid 20s, approximately 5'10" tall and slim build.
6. This statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it were tendered in evidence, I would be liable to prosecution if I wilfully stated anything which I know to be false or did not believe to be true"
8. As stated, this is a new interim order dated 9 January 2018. The question arises as to why the Claimant has served the order to a solicitor who, in fact, was not dealing with and knew nothing about the Claimant's application and are not, in fact, representing Simon in this new case.
9. It is averred that posting an order through someone's door, knowing it is required, by law, to be personally served on a defendant, does not constitute proper service and that the order has not been correctly or properly served.
10. It is also averred that the police allowing Andy Philippou into the custody suite at the police station where Simon was being held in a cell and then allowing him to attempt to personally serve the order on him whilst he was in police custody was unlawful. Andy Philippou has also stated he has personally served Simon with the documents, listed in Item 7, in the cell and that Simon gave his name.
11. Andy Philippou is mistaken. As Simon stated to the judge at the hearing on 5 February 2018, he would not allow Andy Philippou to serve the documents on him whilst he was in police custody, even though five police officers came into the cell with him. Simon stood by the CCTV camera within the cell with his hands over his ears and started shouting so he could not hear what was being said. Due to Simon doing this, the police officers were forced to close the cell door and ask Andy Philippou to leave the police station. The documents were not served on Simon at the police station on that day which will be confirmed by the CCTV within the cell and the footage has been requested from the police.
12. There is also the fact the police had told Simon they were going to allow Andy Philippou to try and serve the documents on him at the police station. Simon's mother and solicitor were also told this. Simon told the police he would not allow them to let it happen. His solicitor also informed the police Simon would not let it happen. Simon's mother spoke to the officer in charge of the police station at that time and told him that she was of the understanding it was unlawful for the police to allow a process server involved in a civil matter into the police station for the purposes of serving civil process on someone in custody. As such, the police were getting involved in a civil matter over which Simon would have no control as he was classed as a person in custody and the police, effectively, had total control over him. As such, the interim order should only be served on him, personally, at his home