

34. The situation that currently exists where Simon is concerned is that he is now afraid to come out of his flat in case a neighbour makes a vexatious complaint against him and the police turn up on his doorstep to arrest him, only to leave having viewed CCTV footage showing they have been called out on the basis of what is, effectively, a hoax call.
35. On 15 March 2018, after being assaulted by Mr and Mrs Mathiyalagan, Simon was taken to the police station. During an interview at the police station, one of the police officers conducting the interview spoke to me about the interim order and commented the conditions of the interim order are a breach of Simon's human rights. I told them I was aware of this. However, the same police officer then explained to me that under the conditions within the interim order, Simon was effectively prevented from defending himself against physical attack. The police officer expressed they were shocked a court had allowed such conditions to be granted. This was stated, by the police officer, in my presence and hearing and also that of Simon's solicitor and another police officer who was present in the interview room.
36. It is my genuinely-held belief the information requested from the police under the terms of Section 35, Data Protection Act 1998 will confirm the Claimant's application for the interim order and committal to prison are vexatious and totally without merit.

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

Signed:..... Date:.....