The Appellant believes that some of the complainants are police officers and no civilians. The Appellant believes that some of the Cads' may relate to completely different areas but are being added incorrectly and linked to Progress Way.

In the interests of a fair hearing the Appellant requests all Cad's cross linked and referred to should be served in an unedited format. All Cad's that do refer to a different location should be removed from the Respondent's bundle as they are too prejudicial.

The Appellant will state that this is yet another example of the police manipulating the evidence to paint him in a bad light. The Appellant strongly believes that the police are presenting their evidence to persuade the court that he was an organiser of this event.

The statements presented are unreliable and prejudicial. The Appellant will state that he cannot possibly have a fair hearing as a result too a breach of regulations inclusive of his Human Rights one of which is article six his right to having a fair hearing will be violated due to the way the Respondent is selecting editing and presenting Cad's. The Appellant specifically requests that the redacted CADS be served unedited or excluded from the Respondent's bundle.

The Appellant will state that he is being deliberately targeted by the police as was his younger brother. Neither organised any event at Progress Way.

The Appellant specifically asks the Respondent to confirm why the event was not closed down or proof of trespass or evidence of profit being made as required under the licensing act 2003 and section 63 of the CJPOA, if it was in fact a rave. The Appellant also asks why went the sound system's not seized under section 63 of the CJPOA.

The Appellant seeks clarification as whether a section 144 LAPSO notice was on display or tress pass had taken place.

The Appellant also questions why the Respondent has not supplied any Cads from 6<sup>th</sup> June 2014; which is in fact the date when this event started and why so many Cads' are missing from the 07<sup>th</sup> and the 08<sup>th</sup> June 2014.

For the purposes of clarity the Appellant denies being an organiser. He denies providing any sound system equipment to the organisers of this event. He denies entering the venue but accepts that he approached to deliver keys. The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

## (c) FALCON PARK 20TH JUNE 2014

The Appellant was not present at this event.

The Appellant accepts that he hired out his sound equipment in good faith for what he believed to be a house party.