


“The organisation of illegal raves” under section 63 which is a criminal Act and that of the word illegal being used when there is no breach under the licensing act 2003 this leads to a clear breach of police enforcement of their police codes of conduct of power regarding residences private homes of issues concerning “private house party’s” under the Licensing act 2003 as in apex 4 of the 2003 licensing act it clearly state as printed below “



(c) that on the 12/07/14 at time 09:53 a police officer of rank pc surname Elsmore first name Steve badge number 711243 YE was logged into the police national computer and did in development of such an application for the commissioner of England and Wales, did fabricate and manufacture such evidence along side with other listed officers, this was done by way of conspiring and concealing true facts and if not for grid numbers not being retracted along side other information that has been retracted creating such forgery by officers, which could only lead a judge to gain a guilty verdict at trial, this was also done while creating and editing statements of truth, which can be proven by the associated unique Urn numbers attached to police officers intelligence information reports running consecutively with maybe a few minor adjustments, but still very clear to see and understand as most do start with urn 000378829 then urn 000378829, urn 000378830 and so on “as when police officers were logged into the police national computer each report was created one after the other but with falsified created date’s” a clear breach of police procedures, falsifying this information could only be done to help aid in wrongful claims, to in fact gain a guilty verdict against the defending applicant Mr. Simon Cordell. while reciting and seeking for issue of wrongful jurisdiction of law as for fact section 63 crime and disorder act 1998 regards out door events as omitted unless trespass has taken place, no incidents that Mr. Simon Cordell is being accused of is in fact on open air land and trespass did not take place neither do the police provided any evidence supporting such claims also that being of the fact relating to the chronological sequence of the CAD reports due to the time stamps as clearly listed here;

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195
CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183