

Details of van taken but was not D.  
 Carpet right had a pad lock round metal barrier.  
 Other car park had a front entrance.  
 I was senior officer attending the venue.  
 Latter on I instructed I sergeant to contact the owners.  
 I latter see the defendant getting out the van  
 I can't remember that, I may have updated others in relation to D getting out of van. But I may or may not have updated the system.  
 On the 7th June D made admissions to me not aware of squatters (of the adjust Estate.)

**Met XEX**

**(Reefer's to a statement that is on page 76.)**

**Witness Pc Edgose – R.O 12:14pm EIC Read**

**Statement 21**

Incident of 24th July:

I was in a vehicle that stopped D's Vehicle.

No threat to break defendant's window (ok)

It was all about drug issues.

**R V CORDELL**

**3**

**Witness VI – Pc King 12:28pm EIC**

**Tab 15/16**

**Statement Page 41**

Officer has only met D once before.

D has all ways been polite.

Has never had any problem's with the defendant.

D was really eloquent of clearly knowing the how.

**Witness Pc Ames – Acting sergeant – R.O -12:46 Pm EIC**

**DEF XEX**

Event was out doors.

Saw sound equipment substance speakers poss.

Approximately the size of witness box, but could not remember really as he was distracted by people.

No further questions.

**Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC**

**Tab 6 – pg ?14?**

**DEF XEX**

Council (**unreadable text**) curfews (**unreadable text**) that PNC info on statement adds no (**unreadable text**) plobatory (**unreadable text**) value of info re: Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

**R V CORDELL**

**4**

**DEF**

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

**DJ**

How many calls from public did police receive?

**Witness**

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe's not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: "3 massive nitrous tanks"

**DJ**

Were did you get such info officer.

**Witness**

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

**COUNSEL**

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

**DJ**

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

**R V CORDELL**

**5**

**Counsel**

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

**(Confesses he did it.)**

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

**(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)**

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in