

Specific disclosure requests by
Mr Simon Cordell who insists the below is forwarded.

I, Simon Cordell am of the view that a lot of the CAD's are fabricated and being used in support of this ongoing appeal.

Such as the Progress Way matters that is in reference to completely different geographical locations, some distance away from Progress Way.

The redacting of the CAD messages makes this extremely difficult to stand a fair trial against under my Human Rights, Article Six the Right to a fair trial.

Referring to the Grid numbers contained in the respondents bundle that do show that a large amount of the evidence being relied upon does in fact show wrong locations, leading further towards the matter of concerns regarding the CADs, when taking a clear insight to CAD 1047 of the 8TH June 2014, That does state the call name of a police officer on duty as PC Shink, who's grid reference location was 534380, 195513 this insight leads to other issues of concern to be highlighted and them issues being that of a vast majority of all other CADS relating to progress way, do in fact having the same Grid number as the officer on duty PC Shink, but on each CAD, the Call name has been redacted Mr Simon Cordell believes the cads are of police officers not civil people and asks for the to be redacted.

I, Simon Cordell request all CADs / crime reports for all events cited in the ASBO application where reference is made to police attending the location in response to crimes being committed. This includes all incident numbers that do not include the relevant Cad intelligence contained in linked explicitly to and Linked implicitly to, that is relevant to the bundle so that I can stand a fair appeal, this is to include crown roads party at the old man building on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 as in cad 3319 and mutable others CADS.

I, Simon Cordell request disclosure of the CCTV of the persons breaking in to the premises on the 25th May 2014, the CRIS and details of any persons arrested for criminal damage / burglary.

I, Simon Cordell request the full details of the original intelligence report inputted on 25th May 2014 and also reasons why there was a need to update this report on 19th June 2014. The Intelligence report should not be allowed in evidence under the hearsay rules as it is prejudicial to me. The report has been amended.

I, Simon Cordell question the accuracy and truthfulness of the statements, CADS etc served in support of the above. I also question why some of the CAD reports have been redacted. I believe that the CAD's may well confirm the names of the real organisers, vehicle registrations etc that will confirm no vehicle belonging to the Appellant being inside the venue. I also question the chronological sequence of the CAD reports due to the time stamps.

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195