

solicitors from what I had been told by the court. They were also going to need time to get all the bundles and go over them and meet with my son. I do not have an office or an office printer to copy all the bundles and I was only sent one copy from the Respondent due to all the issues with the bundles.

On the 16/01/2017 I know that MK-Law contacted the court about legal aid.

On the 17/01/2017 the appeal hearing was due to start and MK-law sent a Barrister to the court to address the matters of legal aid and ask for an court adjournment so they had time to get the bundles in order have time to go over them and meet with my son and deal with the appeal in the correct way how it should be done.

There were issues with legal aid and it was said it was granted but the old solicitors was saying it was revoked Legal aid was of little help as they could only say if it had been revoked or not.

You refused the court adjournment and said you would give MK-Law the 17/01/2017 to get updated with the case and meet my son and get the bundles in order and could not see a problem with legal aid. And the Appeal would start with them or not on the 18/01/2017. seeing as we did not get out of court until around 13:00 hours yesterday this was down to half a day to be ready for this appeal hearing on the 18/01/2017.

How is a new solicitor want to get involved in a case when they have not even had time to go over it in the correct way so once again my son has been left with no acting solicitors and is meant to deal with this on his own? My son has learning problems and heath problems how is he meant to cope with this?

- Issues from the start of this case from when it started in 2014

We have never been given any discloser which has been asked for many times.

The whole case relies on hearsay.

We know the Met police hold information on there systems that prove my son never done this and this has been said many time. This is being covered up.

PNC has information on it that is incorrect which has been said in the lower court and appeal court many times.

Statements of police have information in them that can be proven to be incorrect.

Witness statements being written and signed for by police.

CAD time lines being incorrect and so much redaction with them and covering up they have nothing to do with this case.

The list above is only a few of the issues yet this was meant to be a fair trial in the lower court and this appeal.

There are beaches of my son's ECHR which both the court and the police have to follow and this has not been done in this case.