The police state in their report he was driving about 1" from the bumper of the car in front of him and the claimant driving was erratic.

If the claimant had been driving 1" from the bumper of the car in front of him, which I believe is impossible, and his driving was erratic, then surely the police would have arrested him for dangerous driving, the police always try and find a way to arrest the claimant for any reason they can, and I am hundred percent sure if the claimant was driving like this he would have been in the police station under arrest for it. The driver in the car that was in front of the claimant did not stop and speak to the police, if he had done this why have the police not given the drivers details.

The claimant did not speak to the police in regards to loaning out his equipment for raves. The numbers that the police have stated that the claimant said he had in 2 accounts on social media is impossible, and is unbelievable that the police have stated such information. The police have also stated that the claimant spoke of links to Occupy London, Black Block and other anarchist groups, the claimant has never been involved in any activist groups, and is highly insulted that the police could say the name Black Block came out of his mouth, Black Block is a known NF group, so please why would the claimant have said such things when he is mixed race.

There is also concern with the date of this report, it was filed on the police's system, it would seem police were together when the reports was made for 2 dates in the application, when they must have already knew the police wanted to bring this application against the claimant. If you look at the below and look at the two URN numbers you will see this.

Information Report			Officer Safety
URN	YERT00376229	GPMS	RESTRICTED
Event Date 24/07/2014	Created 27/07/2014	Last Updated 31/07/2014	
Information Report			Officer Safety
URN	YERT00376227	GPMS	RESTRICTED
Event Date 27/07/2014	Created 27/07/2014	Last Updated 27/07/2014	

27/07/2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield:

On this date the claimant is alleged to have organised a rave and/or supplied equipment, the claimant disputes this, there was some homeless people living in premises at Millmarsh Lane, I had been invited to attend a 20th birthday party the claimant did not know about any rave only that a homeless person was having their 20th birthday party, there was only a few people there and they was the homeless people living there. The claimant did not have any equipment there, did not load any equipment or hiring the equipment.

The claimant did not act in any anti social manner on this date.