

And UPON the Defendant's mother agreeing to engage with the Enfield Mental Health Unit team so the Defendant could receive assistance with his mental health conditions and housing.

The above section is wrong I did not agree to engage with Enfield Mental Health Unit team, so the Defendant could receive assistance with his mental health conditions and housing. (what was stated I was dealing with the mental health team due to a complaint, and it was stated I would ask the mental health team if they would help regarding moving Simon, this is bring done ATM, I can not say my son will take assistance with his mental health conditions, due to the fact that will be down to him and not me I can try and get him to get help, but its not down to me if he takes it.

IT IS ORDERED:

(1) The interim injunction order dated 09 January 2018 be discharged forthwith.

It was stated it would be dismissed not discharged the judge asked them if they wanted discharged or dismissed and they stated dismissed, I see now why they are trying to change this to discharged. As discharged means discharge is an unconditional discharge where the Court finds that a crime has technically been committed, but that any punishment of the defendant would be inappropriate, and the case is closed. It has never been proven that my son done anything.

(2) The Claimant's claim and application for an injunction dated 09 January 2018, the Claimant's applications for the Defendant's committal dated 05 February 2018 and 20 April 2018 and the Claimant's application notice dated 07 August 2018 do stand dismissed.

(3) The Claimant should serve a copy of this order upon the Wood Green Police station.

This should be all police as it on record and if he gets arrested at any police station this can come up if it's not removed from full record.

(4) There be no order as to costs save for detailed assessment of the Defendant's publicly funded costs.